#### HIPAA CASE STUDIES: A SURVEY OF 10 HEALTH SYSTEMS' HIPAA COMPLIANCE EFFORTS



Presented by: Margret Amatayakul, RHIA, FHIMSS margretcpr@aol.com

Steven S. Lazarus, PhD, FHIMSS sslazarus@aol.com

Third National HIPAA Summit, October 26, 2001



- Virtual Consortium of health care information systems consulting firms founded in 1995
- Internet-Based
  - Company website: www.boundary.net
  - BIG HIPAA Resources: www.hipaainfo.net
- Senior Consultants with HIPAA Leadership Experience Since 1992
- Clients include:
  - Hospitals and multi-hospital organizations
  - Medical groups
  - Health plans
  - Vendors

# Workgroup on Electronic Data Interchange

 Nonprofit Trade Association, founded 1991 206 organizational members - Consumers, Government, Mixed Payer/Providers, Payers, Providers, Standards Organizations, Vendors Named in 1996 HIPAA Legislation as an Advisor to the Secretary of DHHS Website: www.wedi.org Strategic National Implementation Process (SNIP) - www.wedi.org/snip WEDI Foundation formed in 2001 Steven Lazarus, WEDI Chair

#### **UPDATE ON PRIVACY & SECURITY**

Privacy Published December 28, 2000 Guidelines to clarify and moderate issued July 6, 2001 NPRM for modification expected December 2001 Proposed rule August 12, 1998 Security Final rule expected 2001- 2002 Language to be reconciled with privacy, redundancy removed. Will apply only to electronic and progeny No substantive changes. Separate rule for paper possible. Broader rule for electronic signatures in all industries, or PKI may come later.

## BIG HIPAA ASSESSMENT PROCESS

- Interviews
  - Individuals & groups all workforce members
  - Purpose:
    - Ensure awareness
    - Respond to questions/concerns
    - Obtain information about current practices
    - Learn about future plans
- Observations
  - Tour data center(s), file area(s), and key areas where transactions and individually identifiable health information used/disclosed
  - Purpose:
    - Validate policy and procedure
    - Assess overall workflow
    - Establish context within which to make recommendations

## BIG HIPAA ASSESSMENT PROCESS

- Limited testing
  - Impersonation w/case studies to determine:
    - Help desk response
    - Release of information response
  - Shoulder surfing
  - Various logs and records reviewed
  - Key door locks tested
  - Check paper waste in trash bins
  - Third party authorization
  - Test workstations for:
    - Location
    - Password
    - Virus protection
    - Internet use, screen savers, etc.

### BIG HIPAA ASSESSMENT PROCESS



- Comprehensive review of policies, procedures, forms, etc.
  - Determine existence
  - Determine revision date
  - Determine internal consistency
  - Compare to HIPAA standards
- Comparison to industry practice
  - Results of security and privacy readiness are compared with findings from consultants' pool of other covered entities

#### SECURITY & PRIVACY COMPLIANCE ISSUES/BENEFITS

#### Security

- Revised and new policies, procedures, business associate contracts, documentation
- Significant practice changes
- Potential physical layout changes
- Technical measures to be installed
- Privacy
  - Revised and new policies, procedures, consents, authorizations, agreements, notices, documentation
  - Distribution of notices
  - Significant culture changes: use and disclosure, patient rights, business associates
  - Exercise of patient rights uncertain impact
  - Does not preempt more stringent state laws

#### Security standards

- Establishes baseline for all to follow, minimizing liability
- Reduces risk of wrongful disclosure
- Reduces risks associated with data integrity problems
- Promotes adoption of lower cost Internet-derived technology
- Promotes connectivity to provide availability of information
- **Privacy standards** 
  - Engages consumer in responsibility for accuracy and potentially reduces misunderstandings and potential lawsuits
  - Reduces risk of wrongful disclosure and resultant harm



 None of the findings described herein should be attributed to any one specific BIG client or to or all BIG clients.

 These findings are representative of those commonly found in 2000-2001.

Information Access Control (§142.308(a)(5))
 Technical Access Control (§142.308(c)(1) (i))

- Who authorizes access to information?
- How is access established?
- When is access modified?
- Is there emergency mode access?
- On what is access based?
- Common Findings
  - IS assigns network access
  - Mix of formal (supervisor) authorization and less formal verification approaches used for applications
  - Access modification (when workforce members change jobs) often not performed
  - Minimal role-based access is most common; userbased for physicians (and no "break glass" access)

#### Entity Authentication (§142.308(c)(1) (v))

- Is there automatic logoff?
- Is there two-tiered authentication?

#### Common Findings

- Automatic logoff is generally in use, though often set for fairly long time in clinical areas
- UserID and password most common
  - Virtually no training on strong password selection
  - Multiple passwords for applications; virtually no single sign on
  - Often too frequent password change or no password change
  - Often weakest passwords and no change for network access

Security Incident Procedures (§142.308(a)(9))

- Is there a central place to report security incidents?
- Is it used?
- Written policy, training?
- Common Findings
  - Several places to report *information security* incidents
    - Help desk
    - Security Officer
    - Compliance Officer
    - Supervisor
    - (Often not risk management)
  - No written policy
  - No training
  - No incident tracking, trending, or monitoring

Termination Procedures (§142.308 (a)(11))

- How are workforce user accounts removed?
- Is there continuity of confidentiality requirement?
- Common Findings
  - Employment Exit check lists often not used
  - No or ineffective communication between Human Resources and I.S.
  - Check list and notification process not automated
  - Best for involuntary terminations
  - Often months to remove voluntary and contractor terminations
  - Rarely exit interview includes:
    - Reaffirmation of confidentiality agreement
    - Solicitation of security issues

#### Media Controls (§142.308(b)(2))

- Are all systems backed up? Where are backups stored?
- How is confidential paper handled? trash handled?
- Is fax receipt verified?

#### Common Findings

- Often only some systems are backed up

- Usually critical system backups are stored off site; some backups stored in (removable) fireproof box on site, or even "laying around" server
- "Bee Alert" system in a few locations; most everyone has addressed white boards, marquees, and sign-ins
- Very good PHI trash control in California, lax in other areas
- Fax machine acknowledgement
   recipient verification
- One fax best practice: return cover sheet to acknowledge receipt

#### Sanctions (§164.530(e)(1))

- Are workforce sanctions for breaches applied fairly and consistently?
- Are they documented?
- Common Findings
  - "Subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination" standard statement
  - Escalation more common than zero tolerance
    - Usually no specific escalation procedures documented
  - In hospitals, sanctions process is different for physicians than for the rest of the workforce
  - Volunteers are usually subject to the same sanction as employees

Individual Rights (§164.520 - .528)

- Are individual rights afforded today?
- How are individuals informed of their rights?

- Is there documentary evidence of due process?
- What technical measures support privacy rights?

#### Common Findings

- (.520) No one has instituted Notice of Privacy Practices (Patients Rights and Responsibilities Notice)
- (.522(a)) Restrictions not well-accommodated in systems
- (.522(b)) Confidential communications (not well understood) and not well-accommodated in systems
- (.524) Access is most commonly granted right (although somewhat begrudgingly); but no policy on or due process for denial
- (.526) Amendment is occasionally granted; but no policy on or due process for denial
- (.528) Accounting for disclosure is least common

- Consent (§164.506)
- Authorization (§164.508)
- Opportunity to Agree/Object (§164.510)
- Uses & Disclosures Not Requiring (§164.512)
  - Are these documents consistent with HIPAA?
  - Do individuals understand these documents?
- Common Findings
  - Virtually everyone has a consent, though generally for release of information for payment
  - Virtually everyone has authorization forms and policies/procedures when authorization is not required
  - Virtually no one gives patients opportunity to object

Minimum Necessary (§164.502(b))

 Is PHI limited to intended purpose?

 Common Findings

 Most still are confused as to what this pertains to

Few understand how they will carry out minimum necessary

Organizational Relationships (§164.504)

 Are organizational relationships clear?
 Are they documented?

 Common Findings

 Most providers understand they are covered entities
 Many organizations are confused concerning relationships to other organizations *vis-à-vie* business associates, especially affiliated physician groups

# COMMON SECURITY/PRIVACY ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS

- Information Security Responsibility (§142.308(b)(1))
- Information Privacy Official (§164.530)

- Have these been appointed?
- To whom do they report?
- Do all members of workforce know who they are?
- Common Findings
  - Appointment and reporting relationship varies
  - Many seem to think they know who they are!
- Training and Awareness
  - Little information security training or awareness
  - Good information privacy awareness; less training

#### HIPAA READINESS





Third National HIPAA Summit, October 26, 2001