"Security and Privacy After September 11: The Healthcare Example"

Professor Peter P. Swire
Ohio State University
Consultant, Morrison & Foerster LLP
April 25, 2002

Overview

- Introduction
- Security and Privacy after September 11
 - Can you report a terrorist/patient?
 - More emphasis on security
 - What implication for privacy?
- Proposed Rule Changes & Consumer Groups
 - Some surprises: FDA exception, employer exception, hybrid entity changes

I. Background

- Clinton Administration Chief Counselor for Privacy
- Unusual double major:
 - White House coordinator for HIPAA medical privacy rule, 1999-2000
 - Chair, White House task force on how to update wiretap and surveillance laws for the Internet age

Currently

- Ohio State University College of Law
 - Director D.C. program
- Consultant, Morrison & Foerster, with focus on medical privacy (materials available today)
- Full version of this talk forthcoming,
 Minnesota Law Review
- www.osu.edu/units/law/swire.htm

II. Reporting SuspiciousActivity

- Rule issued before Sept. 11. How well does it work today?
- What if a suspected terrorist is in the hospital? Can you report that?
- Example: patient exposed to anthrax, and you suspect person involved in making or distributing spores

When Can You Report?

- National security exception
- Avert serious threats to health or public safety
- Law enforcement rules generally

National Security Exception

- Section 512(k)(2)
- May disclose PHI "to authorized federal officials for the conduct of lawful intelligence, counter-intelligence, and other national security activities"
- Those activities as defined in law -- what you expect as "intelligence"

- Section 512(j) permits voluntary disclosure by a covered entity
- Must be "consistent with applicable law and standards of ethical conduct"

- Option 1, can disclose where:
 - "Is necessary to prevent or lessen a serious and imminent threat to the health or safety of a person or the public"; and
 - "Is to a person or persons reasonably able to prevent or lessen the threat"

- Option 2, disclosure OK where:
 - "Is necessary for law enforcement authorities to identify or apprehend an individual"
 - "Because of a statement by an individual admitting participation in a violent crime that the covered entity reasonably believes may have caused serious physical harm to the victim"
 - That is, confessions to violent crimes

- Can't disclose where confession is made as part of therapy for propensity to commit violent conduct
- Conclusion: the rule allows disclosure to avert serious threats, including by terrorists

General Law Enforcement

- Sec. 512(f) generally requires "in response to law enforcement official's request"
- Covered entity can't volunteer the information, except where required by a reporting law or requested by law enforcement

General Law Enforcement

- Court order, grand jury subpoena,
 administrative subpoena for full file
- To locate or identify a suspect, fugitive, material witness, or missing person:
 - Name, SSN, limited other information

Summary on law enforcement

- For anthrax suspect:
 - Likely national security
 - May have evidence, in good faith, of imminent threat
 - Can respond to law enforcement requests more broadly
- The rule holds up better than you might have expected to this new challenge
- But, still limits on your disclosure to the police

Security & Privacy Today

- Greater focus on (cyber) security
- Security vs. privacy
- Security and privacy

Greater Focus on Security

- Less tolerance for hackers and other unauthorized use
- Cyber-security and the need to protect critical infrastructures
- Back-up needed in case of cyber-attack, attack on payments system, electricity grid, telephone system, or other systems you need

Security vs. Privacy

- Security sometimes means greater surveillance, information gathering, & information sharing
- Computer trespasser exception in USA Patriot Act
- Report possible terrorists
- Err on the side of public health reporting
- In short, greater disclosures to foster security

Security and Privacy

- Good data handling practices become more important -- good security protects PHI against unauthorized use
- Audit trails, accounting become more obviously desirable -- helps some HIPAA compliance
- Part of system upgrade for security will be system upgrade for other requirements, such as HIPAA privacy

Security, Privacy & Health Care

- Greater law enforcement & anti-terrorism urgency after September 11
- Medical privacy rule already has provisions to respond to September 11:
 - Public health
 - Report terrorists
- Not clear so far that need changes here to HIPAA privacy rule

III. Comments on the Rule

- Public debate to date about:
 - Consent vs. acknowledgment
 - "Marketing"
- Watch for these issues from consumer side:
 - New public health exception, especially for drug companies
 - New exception for employee records
 - New "hybrid entity" provision

Public health uses and disclosure

- From 12/2000 rule
 - PHI can be disclosed to a public health authority "authorized by law to collect or receive such information"
 - PHI can be disclosed where *required* by the FDA or under other applicable law

Public health changes

- Proposed rule would allow disclosure to:
 - Any person subject to FDA jurisdiction
 - "For the purpose of activities related to the quality, safety, or effectiveness" of an FDA regulated product or activity
 - No re-use limits on those who receive data
 - Major provision for the drug companies?

Employee Data

- New exclusion from definition of PHI for
 - "Employment records held by a covered entity in its role as employer."
 - Limiting language in preamble.
 - But the regulatory *text* is very broad -- those records are entirely outside of the rule.

Hybrid entities

Current law:

If "primarily" a covered entity, then all your operations are covered.

Proposal:

Covered entity defines components that are covered

Example:

If no standard transactions, could a hospital web site be outside the rule? Sell all data?

Concluding Thoughts on Security

- Biggest messages today:
- Data handling will have to improve
- Computer security will get more attention and budget
- Critical systems will need to be robust against new threats
- Better data handling, in general, will lead to better privacy compliance, too

How the Proposed Rule Looks to the Consumer Groups

- Consent -- Senator Kennedy hearings
- "Marketing" -- many activities now excluded from the definition
- FDA exception -- gift to drug companies
- Employee exception -- gift to employers
- Hybrid entities -- invitation to create loopholes and surprise consumers

Finally

- Industry will continue to identify issues
 where the rule is burdensome or HHS needs
 to provide clarification
- Consumer groups have their talking points, as well
- Look for continued fireworks

Contact Information

- Professor Peter Swire
- Phone: (301) 213-9587
- Email: swire.1@osu.edu
- Web: www.osu.edu/units/law/swire.htm
- Presidential Privacy Archives: www.privacy2000.org