www.pwc.com

Optimizing Contract Operations through Technology:

Harnessing Insights through Analytics to Drive Strategy and Compliance

June 9, 2016



Agenda



Polling

How are you currently using data analytics related to Managed Markets?

Pricing and contracting continuum

Invisible ties within the pricing and contracting continuum impact down- and up- stream activities



Role of analytics

Analytics provides critical intelligence and insight by opening the ties to drive outcomes-based decision-making



Although analytics drive outcomes-based decision making, teams are siloed, which negatively impacts how decisions are made regarding commercial activities



The need for improved access to meaningful data and analytic capabilities is becoming more critical for organizations to drive strategic decision making



Improving the quality of data, skills and capabilities will result in greater use of data and analytics in making big decisions

Main barriers preventing greater use of data and analytics in making big decisions, % of respondents



Pharmaceutical and life sciences companies **tend to experience more barriers** in using data and analytics to make big decisions What is causing the

barrier?

Building a sophisticated analytics capability can make a difference in addressing industry challenges...



...allowing for pharmaceutical, medical device and distribution executives to plan ahead and capitalize on analytics opportunities



To overcome the barriers and enable decision-making, an analytics foundation that provides value across the pricing and contracting continuum needs to be established



Addressing specialty data integration and analytics needs to support a new specialty product launch

Business Challenge

Client: Pharmaceutical Manufacturer

- Launch of a new specialty product with a partner in a therapeutic area where they had minimal experience
- **Disparate data sources** from internal parties and external vendors



Approach



Design data management



Managed technical build through outsourced team

Client Impact



Track and report product sales



Sales and market analysis

Integrate disparate

data sources



Analytics can also be utilized to identify customers and products that had discrepancies in their pricing and reduce revenue leakage

Business Challenge

Client: Pharmaceutical Wholesaler

- Development of a strategy to regain market share and revenue from a targeted set of customers Sales team
- Inefficient processes and tools preventing targeted and informed conversations with customers

Approach



Customer-targeted dashboards



Develop pricing guardrails

Client Impact



31% increase in call volume



Train client resources

pwc Executive Dashboa	rd					Q Search	
Coar Selectors Filter by T	inar 0.2014 Quart	er 0.01 (0.0	2 0 03 0 04 Month	O Jan O Feb O Mar	Ω Apr Ω May Ω λ.n	0.34 [0.Aug]0	Sep 0 Oct 0 Nov
Total Contract Sales			Total Units Sold		Total E	Total Erosion	
\$ 214,829,807.61		1,611,453			\$2,118,136.05		
		Reve	enue Erosion Waterf	all		_ •	ndicates area of erosis
SM Buy-Side: Product Cost			Sell-Side: Customer Pricing	& Lookage			
350							
\$301.66 \$149.07							
250							
200		\$65.05	\$216.04	\$0.96	\$0.17	\$0.98	\$214.83
	\$151.79						
150		-					
100							- 10
100							
	s Dead Net	Markup	Contract Sales	Non-Compliance	intigbility	Dispense Disparit	y Net Sales
9 -		Morkup tory by Sales Rep		Non-Compliance			y Net Sales
50 0 VAC Sales Chargebacks 8 Rebate		tory by Sales Rep	Pad	hase History by Custome		Purchase He	
50 UVAC States Orangebacks 8 Relater	Purchase History o Sales Representative	tory by Sales Rep of All Sales Re Region	Fund Pund Invoice Sales Month-ow Month	nase History by Custome Total Sales Quantity		Purchase He	
50 0 VAC Sales Chargebacks 8 Rebate	Purchase History of Sales Representative Josh Rosen	tory by Sales Rep of All Sales Re Region Northeast	Pud presentatives Invoice Sales Month-ow Month	ase History by Custome Total Sales Quantity Total 77506	Total Invoice Sales \$10,649,893.22	Purchase Hi Number of Orders 5155	story by Product tresion
50 UVAC States Orangebacks 8 Relater	Purchase History of Sales Representative Josh Rosen Jay Down	tory by Sales Rep of All Sales Re Region Northeast West	Pard presentatives Invoice Soles Month-ow Month	Total Sales Quantity 7/506 111109	r Total Invoice Sales \$10,649,893.22 \$17,000,634.06	Purchase Hi Number of Orders 5155 7190	story by Product Eresion \$211,330.83 \$166,905.92
50 UVAC States Orangebacks 8 Relater	Purchase History of Soles Representative Josh Rosen Joy Drown Jake Brandal	tory by Sales Rep of All Sales Re Region Northeast West Southeast	Part presentatives Invoice Sales Month ow Month	Total Sales Quantity 7/506 113109 E5436	r Total Invoice Sales \$10,649,893.22 \$17,000,634.06 \$10,417,475.85	Purchase Hi Number of Orders 5155 7190 5345	tory-by Product Eresion \$211,330.83 \$166,985.92 \$139,166.66
0 Overpetrets 8 Return	Purchase His Purchase History of Soles Representative Josh Rosen Josh Rosen Jake Brandal Alex Redword	tory by Sales Rep of All Sales Re Region Northeast West Southeast Northeast	Purd	Total Sales Quantity 7/506 113109 5/1575	Total Invoice Sales \$10,649,893.22 \$17,000,634,893.20 \$10,417,675.85 \$9,190,281.97	Purchase Hi Number of Orders 5155 7190 5365 4601	Eresion / \$211,330.83 \$164,985.3 \$129,166.66 \$134,066.29
50 UVAC States Orangebacks 8 Relater	Purchase History of Soles Representative Josh Rosen Jay Down Jaka Brandal Alex Redmond Devin Fuller	tory by Sales Rap of All Sales Re Region Northeast West Southeast Northeast Southeast	Pud presentatives Invoice Soles Month our Month	r Total Sales Quantity by Custome 113109 80936 71575 6102	r Total Invesice Sales \$10,649,893.22 \$17,000,634.06 \$10,417,475.85 \$9,190,281.97 \$11,403,1954.62	Purchase Hi Number of Orders 5155 7190 5345 4601 5503	Erosion \$211,330.83 \$166,965.92 \$139,166.66 \$134,066.29 \$126,066.92
0 Overpetrets 8 Return	Purchase History of Soles Representative Josh Rosen Jay Brown Jake brandal Alex Redmond Devin Fuller Caleb Berneroch	tory by Sales Rap of All Sales Re Region Northeast West Southeast Northeast Southeast Southeast Hidwest	Pad presentatives Inveice Sales Month we Month	r Total Sales Quantity 97506 113109 80936 71575 86102 8621	r Total Invoice Sales \$10,649,893,22 \$17,006,654,06 \$10,417,475,85 \$9,190,231,97 \$11,401,175,62 \$10,785,086,00	Purchase Hi Burders of Brders 5155 7110 5345 4601 5406	Erosion \$211,330.83 \$166,985.92 \$139,166.66 \$134,066.92 \$122,578.04
0 Overpetrets 8 Return	Purchase History of Soles Representative Josh Rosen Jay Down Jaka Brandal Alex Redmond Devin Fuller	tory by Sales Rap of All Sales Re Region Northeast West Southeast Northeast Southeast	Pud presentatives Invoice Soles Month our Month	r Tetal Sales (yuantity 79506 113109 89436 77575 86102 85721 812105	r Total Invesice Sales \$10,649,893.22 \$17,000,634.06 \$10,417,475.85 \$9,190,281.97 \$11,403,1954.62	Purchase Hi Number of Orders 5155 7190 5345 4601 5503	Erosion \$211,330.85 \$166,965.92 \$139,166.66 \$134,066.29 \$125,978.04 \$122,578.04 \$122,578.04







12% increase in revenue

Utilizing analytics to identify root causes of revenue leakage resulting from disparities in transactional data with contract data

Business Challenge

Client: Medical Device Manufacturer

- Challenge identifying root causes of **revenue leakage**, **price erosion** and quantifying the financial impact
- Required the ability to **identify key accounts, products and divisions** contributing to leakage

Approach



Analyze contract, membership, pricing data, and 3rd party data

E	
Ľ	~

Qualitative and quantitative analysis

Client Impact



Identified root causes of revenue erosion

Corrective measures for over 80% of issues and identified new revenue generating opportunities





The analytics journey begins with defining the strategy and executing through an agile and data-driven approach



Define Analytics Strategy

Define vision Align on key metrics and timing Develop business case Define roadmap



Determine Actions

Collect data from data sources Design rapid prototype Pilot dashboard and analytic models Deploy and implement

Assess Analytics Capability

Identify data and data sources Analyze current capabilities and gaps Determine analytic needs



Unlock Outcomes



Unlock Value Build Talent Improve Metrics

Evolving Compliance Landscape



Payer expectations are evolving as focus shifts to outcomes and value

- Is it more cost effective than current treatment/diagnostic methods both in the short and long term?
- Can the contract structure be operationalized based on payer and provider data infrastructure?
- What treatment will it replace?
- What is the risk of it being used off-label?
- The value of key opinion leaders has been declining its all about the evidence

Outcomes contracts in the news.....

□ Amgen / Harvard Pilgrim

- Pay for Performance contract for Repatha
- Discount and at risk if LDL cholesterol levels for health plans members aren't lowered or used more than the predetermined amount

☐ Merck / Cigna

• Agreement for Januvia which ties drug discounts and formulary placement to how well individuals with Type 2 diabetes are able to control blood sugar using drugs

D P&G and Sanofi Aventis / Health Alliance

• Agreement for Actonel to reimburse Health Alliance for medical costs to treat covered non-spinal, osteoporosis-related fractures in post-menopausal members taking drug prior to fracture

<u>Compliance Considerations</u>: How do you measure contract compliance? Account for rebates in Government Pricing? Data privacy issues? HEOR and FDAMA 114?

Linking Reimbursement to Traditional Healthcare Compliance Considerations

Sales	 Targeting of Account Based Customers? Incentive Compensation? Delivery of on label messaging?
Marketing	 Inclusion of HEOR data in marketing materials? Payer and Patient Advisory Boards and Speaker Programs (e.g., Honoria, FMV, venues, etc.)
Managed Markets	 Delivery of value based tools? Creation of disease management focused programs?
Medical Affairs	 Development and delivery of Outcomes Research? MSL support of the Sales Function? Breakdown of the Medical/Sales firewall?
Research & Development	 Early stage focus on delivery of value? Partnerships with payers/providers? Collection of patient data

Compliance programs should look to leverage business developed analytics to direct managed markets risk assessment and monitoring efforts



Monitoring Program Elements – Reporting, Escalating and Remediating





Better Practices:

- Establishing a **Monitoring Governance Committee** comprised of representatives from Contracting & Pricing, Compliance, and Legal, to periodically review monitoring results and determine remediation and/or disciplinary actions:
 - Escalate for investigation
 - Training or Coaching
 - Verbal or Written Warnings
 - Termination
- Providing periodic reports of monitoring findings and monitoring program updates to the **CCO and Compliance Committee**
- Communicating emerging trends and risks to business stakeholders to trigger **business** process improvement initiatives

Let's continue the conversation



Katherine Buckley

Principal

katherine.w.buckley@pwc.com



Erinn Hutchinson Principal

erinn.l.hutchinson@pwc.com



Francis Ruffy

Director

francis.ruffy@pwc.com

To continue the discussion on the importance of developing analytic strategies, please explore the following pieces of thought leadership:



PwC's Global Data & Analytics Survey 2014

http://pwc.to/1V1gmqC

Driving revenue for pharma & Med Tech via analytics

http://pwc.to/1S7QsRw

Thank you!

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, its members, employees and agents do not accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it.

© 2016 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP which is a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, each member firm of which is a separate legal entity.