

Community Clinical Data Exchange – By the Numbers

Healthcare Information Technology 2003

James Kalamas

January 14, 2003

WE ATTEMPTED TO QUANTIFY THE FINANCIAL VALUE OF CCDE



- What are the quantifiable economics for community clinical data exchange (CCDE)?
- How do these economics impact success of CCDE?

Major activities

- Interviewed major healthcare system constituents
- Reviewed academic literature
- Estimated costs and benefits
- Built financial model to value CCDE

WE ESTIMATED VALUE BASED ON TANGIBLE ELEMENTS OF COSTS AND BENEFITS

Costs

Cost drivers

Hardware

Software

Training

Development

Installation

Implementation-Initial startup costs (year 1) for defined community

Support-

Annualized costs for maintenance of CCDE from years 2-5 (assumes a 5year life cycle)

- Maintenance contracts for hardware/software
- Application support
- Ongoing help desk/systems administrator

Benefits

Web enablement-

Benefits to individual constituent of bringing own information online

Network benefits-Benefits to individual constituent of different health care constituents joining the network

Benefit drivers

- Lab savings
- Radiology savings
- Staff savings
- Fewer readmissions
- Fewer medical errors
- Enhanced lab revenue from proper coding
- Test duplication avoidance
- Staff savings

THE FOLLOWING PARTICIPANTS AND INFORMATION ELEMENTS WERE INCLUDED IN OUR COMMUNITY*



Payors excluded due to existing more advanced solutions for payor/ provider information sharing and likely limited provider participation due to payor involvement. Pharmacies excluded given more efficient information sharing via PBMs

WE MODELED 3 HYPOTHETICAL COMMUNITIES

		Penetration	
Constituent type	Total number in community	Low*	High**
 Major hospital 	10	3	7
Diagnostic imaging center	5	2	4
 Independent laboratory 	3	1	2
PBMs	5	1	3
 Major physician groups 	5	1	3
Physicians	5,000	750	1,750
Maior hospital	6	2	 4
	2	1	2
	1	1	1
	5	1	3
	2	1	2
Physicians	1,000	150	350
Maior hospital	1	1	1
-	1	1	1
	1	0	1
-	5	0	3
		1	0
	200	30	0 70
	 Major hospital Diagnostic imaging center Independent laboratory PBMs Major physician groups Physicians Major hospital Diagnostic imaging center Independent laboratory PBMs Major physician groups 	Constituent typecommunity• Major hospital10• Diagnostic imaging center5• Independent laboratory3• PBMs5• Major physician groups5• Physicians5,000• Major hospital6• Diagnostic imaging center2• Independent laboratory1• PBMs5• Major physician groups2• Independent laboratory1• PBMs5• Major physician groups2• Physicians1,000• Major hospital1• Diagnostic imaging center1• Diagnostic imaging center1• PBMs5• Major hospital1• PBMs5• Major hospital1• Major hospital5• Major physician groups0	Constituent typeTotal number in communityLow*• Major hospital103• Diagnostic imaging center52• Independent laboratory31• PBMs51• Major physician groups51• Major hospital62• Diagnostic imaging center2• Major hospital62• Diagnostic imaging center2• Major physician groups5• Major hospital62• Diagnostic imaging center2• Independent laboratory1• PBMs5• Major physician groups2• Major physician groups2• Major hospital1• PBMs5• Major hospital1• PBMs5• Major hospital1• Physicians1,000• Major hospital1• Major hospital1• Major physician groups0• PBMs5• O• Major physician groups0• PBMs5• O• Major physician groups0

* Low penetration is ~33% institution participation and 15% physician usage adoption

** High penetration is ~66% institution participation and 35% physician usage adoption

*** Given low numbers in community, penetration percentages for institution participation not applicable

NET VALUE INCREASED WITH COMMUNITY SIZE AND PENETRATION



* Includes annual support costs and amortized implementation costs over 5 years

VALUE WAS MODEST FOR EACH CONSTITUENT AND FIRST MOVER DISADVANTAGE EXISTED FOR ALL CONSTITUENTS

\$U.S. annual

LARGE COMMUNITY, HIGH PENETRATION

Per constituent				Total for all constituents			
Most likely organizers	Costs ^{1,2}	Intrinsic benefits of providing data	Network benefits	Total individual benefits	Number of constituents	Total costs	Total benefits
Hospital	\$120,000	\$180,000	\$110,000	\$290,000	7	\$840,000	\$2,000,000
Imaging center	\$110,000	\$44,000	\$(15,000)	\$29,000	4	\$440,000	\$120,000
Laboratory	\$110,000	\$70,000	\$170,000	\$240,000	2	\$220,000	\$480,000
Physician group	\$120,000	\$90,000	\$280,000	\$370,000	3 MD free ri	\$360,000 ders	\$1,100,000
Other physicians	\$40	\$0	\$2400	\$2400	1,750	\$70,000	\$4,200,000
PBM	\$110,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	3	\$330,000	\$0
		First-mover disadvantage		Benefits fragmented		~\$2,200,000	~\$7,900,000

Costs are determined by individual site costs plus central costs distributed among participating constituents

² Central costs are \$280,000 for 1st year and \$150,000 annual support costs. For 1 constituent alone on the network,

annual costs would run \$290,000, which includes all central costs amortized over 5 years and costs for individual site

SUMMARY



- Quantifiable economic value meaningful when sizable network in place
- Substantial first-mover disadvantage
- Hospitals most likely organizers of CCDE
- Quantifiable quality and service benefits could substantially increase value
- Organizational challenges remain