

## EHR/CLINICAL IT SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATION:

#### Lessons Learned from a Community Health Center Model

Kenneth E. Bernstein, MD, FAAFP Medical Director/CMO Darin M. Camarena Health Centers Madera, California



## **CVHN EMR Project**

Central Valley Health Network

- Collaborative Effort Between 3 Central Valley Health Centers
- Collaborative approach to the selection, purchase, customization and maintenance of an EMR product that would allow the CVHN members to capture data that would facilitate disease management.

## Reality

- Implementation Challenges
  - Delays and workarounds led to excess cost
- 11% Decrease in Office Efficiency and Revenue
- Loss of clinician morale; 2 physicians resigned
- Patient Safety Issues

## Lessons Learned

#### Collaboration Brings

#### - Savings:

- Some hardware savings
- Contractual issues
  - Possible economies of scale
  - Individual entities do not have to do an RFP and contract negotiations, = savings
- Body of knowledge
  - Lessons learned can be utilized by all . Training and technical expertise can / should be shared
  - Planning and implementation expertise
  - Shared Help Desk

## Lessons

## **Collaboration brings issues**

- Trust
- Collaboration may slow down change process
  - Tasks can potentially prevent one organization from moving forward at their desired pace
- Accountability between partners
- Clinical operations vary between entities: affects actual implementation of the product
- Individual entities/clinics/providers may have limited "flexibility",
- Product selection should take into consideration all of the above!

### Lessons Learned

- Focus on the problems you want to solve, don't focus on the technology
- Product selection
  - Better off with a" simpler" system: look at what is really needed
  - Cost: Realize that cost is more than the price of the product
  - Flexibility may NOT be an asset— look for standardized solution.....
- Must have internal champions in each organization
  - CMO, Application Design, CIO, CFO and CEO
- Move forward in steps
  - Identify the ones that give your staff immediate improvements
- Implementation of EMR is an on-going process.
- IT expertise must be involved throughout the process.

## Lessons Learned

- Demos and site visits are part of the "sales pitch".
- It takes diligence separate the "sales pitch" from reality.
- Try to truly get an honest take on the product:
  - Spend as much time with non-clinical staff as clinical. If they are not paperless ask Why? If not accessing all data in computer ask Why?
  - Ask to have demoed what you are interested in. If they do not have it in place ask WHY?
  - Find out who is and is not using the system. Ask why not?
  - Walk up to "anyone" and ask how they use the system.
  - Do not let the sales folks hand pick who you talk to.
  - Observe and ask questions. Understand the flow within the site, and ask why?
  - Look for examples where they have had to modify their processes for the application and..... ask if it is better or not.
  - Ask to see the service request log. Look for numbers of service request and response times to service requests.

### For Darin M. Camarena Health Centers, Has EMR Been Worth the Effort?



Let's look at some specific examples.

"I know I signed those lab results, but they haven't made it back to the chart yet."



DARIN M. CAMARENIA HEALTH CENTTERS, INC.

"unarena\_more than health



#### Stages of EMR Development at DMCHC

Area of Functiona lity	Stage I: Paper Chart	Stage II: Paper Chart + MegaWest (1993- 2002)	Stage III: EMR Product (2003-2005)	Stage IV: Next EMR (2005 - ??)
LAB	Paper results, manual process •Issues: chart pulls, results misplaced, not filed in charts, stacks on providers desks! •Charts pulled for any review of lab (WIC forms etc.) Benefits: ??	Interface with Unilab – Issues: "View Only" Paper copies placed in chart Benefits : •No chart pulls for Urgent Care visits = decreased over all chart pulls = decreased lost charts, decreased time spent hunting charts.	Interface with Unilab - Providers sign off electronically and message decision making to appropriate person for follow-up <b>Issues:</b> •Added Scanning to department duties to replace paper. <b>Benefits</b> •Provides comparative data views; complete chart available remotely. •No chart pulls, no lost time hunting charts! •No paper labs to providers.	CPOE of labs ordered directly from EMR <b>Benefits</b> : Eliminate errors caused by current manual entry of lab requisitions.



#### Stages of EMR Development at DMCHC

Area of Function- ality	Stage I: Paper Chart	Stage II: Paper Chart + MegaWest (1993- 2002)	Stage III: EMR Product (2003-2005)	Stage IV: Next EMR (2005 - ??)
Prescribing	NCR Paper pad, manual scribble with problems of legibility. Hand carried to pharmacy. NCR copy to chart. Manually updated Med List. <b>Issues</b> : •Illegible provider writing resulting in Medication errors, or delays <b>Benefits</b> :??	Med list automatically updated through the dictation. Transcriptionist "types" with symbols causing the meds to automatically be placed in med list. <b>Issues</b> : Lag time until dictation was received on a floppy disk. <b>Benefits</b> : Viewable without a chart.	Provider orders Rx into EMR, cross-interactions checked; Prints legible paper Rx, or fax directly from EMR to the pharmacy. Literature in Spanish & Eng. at 2 reading levels (low literacy and pharmacology level) <b>Issues:</b> <b>Benefits:</b> •Drug interaction issues decreased •eliminated all call-backs from pharmacy •med list is dynamic with current and past meds	Episodic vs. chronic meds.



#### Stages of EMR Development at DMCHC

Area of Functionality	Stage I: Paper Chart	Stage II: Paper Chart + Mega West (1993 - 2002)	Stage III: EMR Product (2003-2005)	Stage IV: Next EMR (2005 -??)
Rx-Refills	Telephone call from pharmacy, or patient requesting refill. Staff took message, chart pulled; to provider desk <b>Issues</b> : •Difficult for staff to correctly take messages, spell drugs (human error issues high) •Stacks of charts •Goal was 24 hour turnaround, hard to keep the promise. • <b>Benefits</b> : ???	Patients call pharmacy, Pharmacy calls or faxes request for refill; to medical records for chart pull; <b>Issues</b> : Required chart pull <b>Benefits</b> : Provider could look in MW at meds, speeded up the process somewhat.	Faxed directly to the pods. Ward clerk prints a copy of chart notes. Clinicians review paper notes and indicates on paper re-order request. Ward Clerk calls in order to pharmacy. Refill is document in EMR. Issues: Product does not have simple RX Refill process Benefits: No chart pulls at all.	Rx- Refill will be in the in-box to review by physician, and then orderd electronically. Patient to email pharmacy to trigger refill request. Email from pharmacy to DMCHC. (Kaiser does this)



#### Stages of EMR Development at DMCHC

Area of Function- ality	Stage I: Paper Chart	Stage II: Paper Chart + Mega West (1993 -2002)	Stage III: EMR Product (2003-2005)	Stage IV: Next EMR (2005 -??)
Tracking	Tickler files, index cards with color dots placed on <b>Issues:</b> •No report generating •All manual <b>Benefits:</b> •Full tracking capability	Using MegaTracks tracking driven by CPT/ICD9 and pre-set criteria. Generates reminder letters with addresses and messages. <b>Issues:</b> Med. Records personnel manually changes follow-up date in tracking system and sends recall <b>Benefits:</b> Can view ,without chart, appointment for follow up	Mega Tracks continued Now clinician receives notification via in-box, responds via messaging. Now with an abnormal lab, message goes to ward clerk, who contacts patient and sends note. <b>Issues:</b> Having to utilize dual systems due to Products lack of Health Maintenance Module <b>Benefits:</b> Messaging regarding results	Preventive health and Abnormal follow-up based on criteria – pre determined and integrated in application. INTEGRATED APPROACH!!

# The Electronic Health Record Yes, it is worth the effort!

Contact info: Kenneth E. Bernstein,MD,FAAFP 559-664-4000

kbernstein@camarenahealth.org