Pitfalls and Lessons Learned:

Advanced Implementation Strategies for a Compliant Grant Process

National CME Audioconference December 9, 2008



Companies should consider implementing enhancements in the following areas, if they have not already, to minimize compliance risks:

- Infrastructure
- Proposal submission process
- Review and approval/denial process
- Notification process
- Monitoring and reconciliation process

Infrastructure

- Separate Sales & Marketing from the Grant process, as recommended by OIG and new PhRMA Code update.
 - Grant funding budgets should be separate from Sales & Marketing budgets.
 - Sales & Marketing personnel should not have influence over review activities or funding decisions.
 - Sales personnel should have only limited involvement in facilitating submission of grant requests through:
 - Provision of telephone numbers and/or Web sites through which grants are submitted
 - Provision of contact information for Medical Science Liaisons or other appropriate Company personnel

Infrastructure (cont'd)

- Develop and/or update policies and procedures that outline process for each type of grant separately
 - Clearly define each type of grant (e.g., CME, charitable contribution, research) to ensure grant is funneled through appropriate process
 - Require tracking of all grant requests
 - Require consistent application of decision criteria
 - Require documentation of approval/denial decisions
- Train relevant personnel on new and/or revised policies and procedures

Infrastructure (cont'd)

- Establish annual budget for each type of grant
- Establish budget for grant spending by product or disease state
 - Limit the number or percent of educational grants awarded to forprofit organizations
 - Monitor magnitude and distribution of past grant spending
- Define or establish criteria/topics/research areas that may be funded for the coming year
- Consider establishing criteria for any limits on awards to specific individuals or organizations

Submission Process

- Establish a centralized process (e.g., Web-based, central office) through which grant requests are submitted.
- Provide transparency to the process by posting:
 - Forms
 - Instructions
 - Checklists
 - Deadlines
 - Company contacts
 - Other information to facilitate submission of requests

Submission Process (cont'd)

- Develop an application checklist for requestors to ensure applications are complete and accurate.
 - Grant request letter
 - Tax ID number
 - Location and date of activity
 - Intended audience/participants and anticipated number
 - The topic(s), objective, and description of the activity for which the grant is requested.
 - The name of the organization to which the check should be made payable
 - Itemized budget

Submission Process (cont'd)

- For CME Events
 - Needs Assessment
 - The agenda or proposed agenda (sample agenda) of the activity
 - Itemized budget
 - Evaluation procedures
 - W-9 Form
 - Evidence of accreditation (e.g., ACCME)
 - Completed grant information request form
- For Non-CME Events
 - The agenda or proposed agenda
 - Itemized budget
 - W-9 Form
 - Completed grant information request form

Review and Approval/Denial Process

- Establish a Grants Review Committee(s) that is independent of Sales & Marketing, and includes Compliance and/or Legal.
 - Document membership and roles
 - Types of grant(s) to be reviewed
 - Frequency of meetings/reviews
 - Decision criteria used by Committee during its reviews
- The Committee should review requests to verify that:
 - Content is aligned with the needs assessment and learning objectives of the proposal
 - Content is aligned with company scientific/medical objectives
 - Information to be presented is fair and balanced
 - Proposal is compliant with relevant laws, regulations, and company policies

Review and Approval/Denial Process (cont'd)

- Establish grant review criteria that are objective, do not consider the recipient's current or future use of product, and ensure:
 - Funded activities are bona fide activities
 - Providers are eligible providers
 - There is a firewall for providers who could also potentially be involved in promotional activities with the company
 - CME programs are accredited
 - Speakers paid at Fair Market Value ("FMV")
 - Audiences are appropriate (e.g., physicians, nurses, pharmacists)
 - Eligible therapeutic areas of interest
 - Ineligible requests (e.g., retroactive support, stand-alone entertainment or social activities) are denied funding

Review and Approval/Denial Process (cont'd)

- Require documentation of all grants and grant decisions, including those that are denied by the Company
- Establish good document management system to track entire process
- Establish an acceptable turnaround time for review of requests, including timeframes for:
 - Initial screening of request for completeness
 - Review process
 - Decision notification
 - Award pay-out

Review and Approval/Denial Process (cont'd)

When making decisions regarding educational grant awards, the Company should not:

- Influence the development of educational content
- Select or influence educational methods, venue or frequency of educational events
- Influence selection of presenters or moderators
- Determine educational needs or objectives
- Influence selection of individuals who would be in a position to control or influence content

Notification Process

- Verify requests by sending a written letter
- Ensure requesters are notified about all decisions on a timely basis and that notification is documented
- Consider the need for an escalation process for decision making process
- Payments are paid directly to appropriate recipient organization

Monitoring, Reconciliation and Auditing Process

- Establish a monitoring and reconciliation process to verify that activity or program actually occurred
 - Final activity report (e.g., number of estimated and actual attendees, credit hours issued)
 - Final agenda
 - Final invitations
 - Final handout materials
 - Participant evaluation summaries
 - Faculty evaluation summaries
- Require providers to provide reconciliation of actual expenses vs. estimated expenses
 - If funds were not used for intended use that was approved by Company, then provider must return unused funds to Company

Monitoring, Reconciliation and Auditing Process (cont'd)

- Areas that may be audited
 - Grants compliance
 - Grants review committee(s) responsibilities
 - Grant payment determination (itemized budgets, agenda and schedule are reviewed and deemed reasonable)
 - Grant payment process

Additional Thoughts...

When should your company exercise control?

- It is acceptable to attach some strings to funding:
 - Require program independence
 - Require compliance with PhRMA Code, ACCME Guidelines, and applicable law (not just FDCA, but Anti-Kickback)
 - Ensure that educational provider is responsible for providing objective, scientifically valid content
 - Ensure non-promotional disclosure of financial support
 - Require budget reconciliation

Additional Thoughts... (cont'd)

- Require that a written contract be in place before educational grant money is paid out.
 - Contract templates should be reviewed periodically by Legal department.
 - Incorporate relevant current ACCME criteria.
 - Note that effective January 1, 2008, commercial supporter may not specify the manner in which the provider will fulfill the ACCME requirements.
- Consider (and exercise) audit rights of awardees or vendors

Contact Information

Tracy Mastro

Director

Huron Consulting Group

202-585-6862

tmastro@huronconsultinggroup.com