

WHAT EVERY COMPLIANCE OFFICER SHOULD KNOW ABOUT CODING EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES FURNISHED BY PHYSICIANS AND HOSPITALS

February 6, 2003 Andrew Ruskin Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. Washington, D.C.

Vinson&Elkins

A. What is it?

1. Essentially, these are codes that are used to describe a common clinic visit where a physician reviews one or more particular problems posed by a patient, does an analysis, makes a diagnosis, and prescribes a treatment. Hospital services are those that are ancillary to the physician's treatment, including registration, patient education, and discharge.

B. What E/M coding systems do not meet CMS standards?

- 1. Keying off of physician billing (longstanding policy);
- 2. Systems based on the number or type of interventions;
 - a. Including a system designed by the American College of Emergency Physicians
- 3. Systems based on time staff spent with patient;

Vinson&

Vinson&Elkins

- 4. Point systems that weight time, intensity and staff type involved in each intervention; and
- 5. Systems based on patient complexity.
- C. Why don't these systems work?
 - CMS claims that they lead to upcoding, incentives for overutilization, and a need for extrapolating where there are gaps in a coding system.

Vinson&Elkins

D. What does CMS expect hospitals to do?

- 1. Hospitals must have their own system for classifying E/M codes.
- 2. Hospitals should use CMS' limited guidance in building their own systems.
 - a. Break into ER visits and clinic visits, which now each have their own codes associated with them.
 - b. Tie hospital level 1 visits to the CMS definition:
 - 1) Basic services, including registration, triage, initial nursing assessment, minimal monitoring, minimal diagnostic and therapeutic services (such as a rapid strep test or a urine dipstick), nursing discharge, and exam room set up and clean up.



- c. Level 2 and up is not determined.
- 3. Hospitals must all now create documentation guidelines.
 - a. Must reflect resource consumption.
 - b. Must be designed in such a way that "a medical reviewer can easily infer the type, complexity, and medical necessity of the services provided and validate the level of service reported."



E. Implementation date. 1. January, 2004. F. Remaining compliant. 1. Evaluate existing coding systems. 2. Do due diligence on any proposed system. Keep documentation standards to a minimum. 3. Get your fiscal intermediary involved. 4. 5. Don't underbill.