

# Promoting Quality Health Care

Integrating Patient Safety in Disease Management Programs

#### Annette Watson, RN, CCM, MBA

**Chief Accreditation Officer, URAC** 

#### 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Disease Management Colloquium, Philadelphia, PA



# Mission

To promote continuous improvement in the quality and efficiency of healthcare management through processes of accreditation and education.

## **Objectives**

- Describe the URAC accreditation and standards development process outlining how URAC standards promote quality of care and accountability across the healthcare continuum.
- Cite IOM recommendations to healthcare organizations related to patient safety and discuss the evolution of URAC's research, standards development and approach to the integration of patient safety standards into DM standards
- Describe URAC's quality improvement programs that include reporting of a specific patient safety quality improvement program (QIP)
- Discuss barriers and strengths of medical management to patient safety

## **About URAC**

- Nonprofit, independent organization founded in 1990 originally chartered to accredit utilization review services – now offers 16 distinct accreditation programs across the continuum of care
- Twenty-two of the top 25 US health plans hold URAC accreditation\*
- URAC accredits more of the top 25 PPOs than any other accreditation organization\*
- URAC Health Web Site program launched in 2001: Accredits 36 sites/over 250 portals including WebMD, Healthwise, KidsHealth, Mayo Clinic and Consumer Health Interactive
- URAC currently accredits over 400 organizations operating in all 50 states
- URAC is now recognized in 38 states, District of Columbia, and four federal agencies (OPM, Department of Defense, VA,CMS)

\* AIS Directory of Health Plans, 2005

## Accreditation is a "Seal of Approval"

- Accreditation is an independent expert evaluation of a disease management organization.
- Physicians, nurses, other health care professionals (as well as consumers) determine what quality standards have to be met by the disease management organization.
- These standards are then built into an accreditation program. The disease management organization is evaluated against the standards by a team of outside professionals who conduct an on-site audit--making sure that the health plan is actually doing what it says it does.

Quality standards set by independent group

Accreditation Program to support the Quality Standards is established

Independent group of surveyors audits the health plan to make sure that they meet the standards



#### **URAC Standards Promote Quality Care and Accountability**

#### **Across the Health Care Continuum**

Health Care Continuum	Well At Risk Acute Illness- Discretionary Care Chronic Illness Catastrophic End of Life Care						
	Wellness/Benefits						
	HWS, CES	НСС	HCC, UM	DM, UM	CM, UM		
Portfolio	Core Organizational Quality						
rtf		ality					
PO D							
ct	Health Network (HN) Claims Processing HIPAA Privacy						
np							
Loc							
	HIPAA Security						
2006	Consumer Education and Support (CES)						
N	Health Web Site (HWS)						
	Independent Review (IRO)						

### **Institute of Medicine (IOM)**

- Important recommendation to Accreditors.
- "Regulators and accreditors should require health care organizations to implement meaningful patient safety programs with defined executive responsibility"



Published 1999

#### Enhanced Patient Safety, Quality Improvement Central to URAC Standards How URAC Accreditation Promotes the Institute of Medicine's Six Aims of Quality Health Care\*

\* Crossing the Quality Chasm, National Academy of Sciences, 2003.

Quality Aims:	How URAC Accreditation Promotes IOM Quality Aims	
1. Safe	Credentialing, Practice Guidelines, UM/CM/DM Triggers, Privacy	
2. Effective	Provider Feedback, Peer Review, Quality Management Programs	
3. Patient- Centered	Individualized Focus, Informed Decision-making, Patient Satisfaction, Consumer Education, Health Literacy	
4. Timely	Timeframes/Caseloads Defined, Enhanced Care Coordination	
5. Efficient	Organizational Structure, Policies and Procedures, TQM	
6. Equitable	Appeals and Grievances, Review Criteria, Cultural Sensitivity	

January 1, 2006 URAC formally adopted IOM's definition of patient safety. Requires organizations seeking accreditation to include a specific safety QIP



#### **URAC Standards- Patient Safety Approach**



## Verification Activities to Validate Patient Safety Practice



# URAC's Quality Improvement Program (QIP)

	Quality	Improvement Activity Form	
Activity Name: Use of App	propriate Medications For P	eople With Asthma	
	Section I: A	ctivity Selection and Methodo	logy
			tionale for why this activity is important to members or Core 34(c)(i)(ii), Core 37(a)(i),(b)(i)(ii)] [Core 33(c)(f),
			der 19. In 2001, there were 2,671 hospitalizations due to room visits due to asthma at a cost of almost \$7 million.
ANYWHERE VILLE reports asthma h	ospitalizations among children ages b	pirth to 19 years – 25.9 per 10,000.	
National Center for Health Statistics re	eport asthma hospitalizations among o	children <15 years of age – 33.6 per 10,000.	Ages 15-44 – 9.1 per 10,000.
Asthma ranks within the top ten preva million lost workdays for adults and 14			h care costs annually. Asthma accounts for an estimated 14.5
including those with severe asthma. I	nfluenza causes substantial morbidity	in adults and children with asthma, and vacc	vaccine is safe to administer to adults and children with asthma, ination can prevent influenza and its complications. Currently, 95,000 hospitalizations would be prevented and \$350 million
children in South Carolina currently su	ffer from asthma compared to 8.0% n		embers being under the age of 18 years. DHEC reports 8.9% c of children 17 years and younger as having an asthma diagnosi by volume and cost.
A.1 Date approved by TQM[Core 33(g)] Minutes Date (if different from above)	A. 2 Activity Focus [Core 34(c)(i)(ii), Core 37(a)(i),(b)(i)(ii)] Consumer Clients Consumer and Client	A. 3 Activity Description Core 37(a)(i). Core 37(b)(i) Non-clinical Clinical Name of senior staff in charge of activity Core 37(a)(iii)]	A.4 Committee Input Core 33 () (b) Presentation of Activity to Committee – Committee name, Dates and Comments November 2004 November 2005
		Name of Committee to Oversee clinical activities TQM Committee	

Quality Improvement Activity Form—Effective October 2004

1

# **Consumer Safety QIP Requirements**

Standard CORE 37	Standard CORE 37
<ul> <li><u>At any given time, the organization maintains no</u> <u>less than two quality improvement</u> <u>projects.</u></li> <li><b>a)</b> <u>At least one quality improvement project</u> <u>that:</u> <ol> <li>Focuses on consumers; or for organizations who do not interact with consumers, client services;</li> <li><u>Relates to key indicators of quality as</u> described in 34(c); and</li> </ol> </li> <li><b>iii.</b> <u>Involves a senior clinical staff person</u> in judgments about clinical aspects of performance, if the quality improvement project is clinical in nature; and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b) <u>At least one quality improvement project focuses on error reduction and/or consumer safety.</u></li> <li><i>i.</i> <u>Consumer safety QIPs are required of the following programs: HUM, WCUM, HCC, HP, DM, IRO, and CM.</u></li> <li><i>ii.</i> <u>Error reduction QIPs are required of all accreditation programs that do not conduct consumer safety QIPs.</u></li> </ul>

# Disease management is a patient safety strategy

**Patient safety:** freedom from accidental injury; ensuring patient safety involves the establishment of operational systems and processes that minimize the likelihood of errors and maximizes the likelihood of intercepting them when they occur.

To Err is Human. Institute of Medicine, 1999

# Examples of Quality Improvement Project (QIPS)

- Use of Appropriate Medications for People with Asthma
- Beta-Blocker Treatment After a Heart Attack

• Screening for Depression

# Barriers of Medical Management in the Patient Safety Role

- Lack of on-site patient interface
- Lack of integration with
   other system elements
- Quality improvement feedback mechanism not established
- Limited leverage

- Patient safety indicators not defined
- Lack of stakeholder awareness of the medical management role
- Lack of standardization: assessment, data entry, codes, performance benchmarks

# Strengths of Medical Management in the Patient Safety Role

- Evidence based guidelines
- Decision support tools
- Clinical professionals
- Direct patient and/or provider interaction (for some)
- Real time data access
   and link to claims data

- Routine use of CPT and ICD9 codes to classify activities
- Routine use of patient
   assessment
- Routine use of patient education

# **Moving Forward**

- Pharmacy Benefit Management Accreditation Program
- Consumer Value Based Health Purchasing Measures Project (CVBHPM)
- Consumer Patient Safety QIP
- Major Standards Revision

### **Further Questions**

Annette Watson, RN, CCM, MBA 1220 L Street, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 awatson@urac.org www.urac.org 202-216-9010