Mini Summit X: Delivery System Reform

Antitrust and Accountable Care Organizations

> Susan DeSanti Director, Office of Policy Planning Federal Trade Commission January 20, 2011 Washington, D.C.

Goal of Presentation

- Review antitrust analysis of ACOs
- Highlight congruence between antitrust analysis and purpose of ACOs under Affordable Care Act
- Report work coordinating with CMS
- Note: The views I express are my own, and do not necessarily reflect those of the Federal Trade Commission or any Commissioner.

Review of Basic Antitrust Analysis

□ Two main questions:

- 1) Is the ACO an arrangement to allow providers to fix prices – or is it an integrated joint venture that has the potential to improve quality and lower costs?
- 2) Does the formation of the ACO create market power or allow it to be exercised in new ways?

Question 1: Price Fixing or Integration with a Valid Purpose?

□ Price fixing: Prohibited.

Competing providers may NOT jointly negotiate reimbursement rates with commercial payers.

Per se (automatically) illegal price fixing.

Integration with a Valid Purpose: Permitted,

- If competing providers have integrated their services to create a product or service that none of the participants alone could produce, or produce as efficiently.
 - Joint negotiation must be reasonably necessary to achieve the improved care and lower costs that the ACO claims it will create.

Integration with a Valid Purpose

- Valid Purpose: To improve the quality and lower the cost of health care.
- Similarity with ACA, Section 3022 ("shared savings"):
 - groups of providers . . . may work together to manage and coordinate care for Medicare . . . beneficiaries through an [ACO]; " and
 - may receive payments for shared savings <u>if the</u> <u>ACO meets certain quality performance</u> <u>standards.</u>

Market Power

- The ability to raise prices above the competitive level or exclude competitors from the market.
- Market share can be an indicator of market power.
- Market power of a provider organization will vary depending on competitors in the market and other factors.

Exclusivity versus Non-Exclusivity

- Exclusivity: ACO member <u>cannot</u> contract individually with a commercial payer or with another ACO.
- Non-exclusivity: ACO member <u>can</u> belong to more than one ACO and <u>can</u> contract individually with a commercial payer.
- Non-exclusivity can lessen market power by, among other things, making providers available to form more ACOs.

Coordination with CMS

- FTC and DOJ Antitrust Division staff working with CMS staff to coordinate the assessment of ACOs.
- We understand that providers would like "one-stop shopping" on regulatory issues.
- Stay tuned more likely during the first quarter of 2011.