The Fourteenth National HIPAA Summit 4.03 Advanced Issues in NPI Implementation Health Plan and Provider Perspectives Sally Klein, Senior Consultant, FOX Systems, Inc. Michael Apfel, CIPP/G

Topics

- Are Health Plans Ready?
- Do Your Subparts Match Our Subparts
- Taxonomy Use
- Subpart and Taxonomy Alternatives/Complements
- New Providers and Mid-Levels
- Dissemination and Disclosure
- Testing
- Business Continuity For Everyone
- Emerging Issues

Are Health Plans Ready?

- Some are many are not. Many have not tested completely
- Still awaiting NPI information from providers
- Working on crosswalks and hitting a few bumps
- Need to accommodate a lot of intelligence in legacy numbers
- Dissemination from NPPES would be helpful to clarify matches

Do Your Subparts Match Our Subparts?

- Unless health plans can match the existing legacy identifiers, they cannot pay correctly
- Health plans need to know how subparts are determined as soon as possible to work into crosswalks
- Subparts for some payers are entirely different from other payers finding the middle is important
- Old systems change slowly
- We need to talk!!!

- Confusion remains across all Organizational Provider types, especially group practices
- Some instances of "on the fringe mandates" as well as those that are over the edge
- Location identification is still a problem
- Mapping subparts in claims can be problematic
- Follow the money, not the schema, and take control

- Understand how you are being paid today and back into the subpart *if needed*
- Communication is absolutely critical
 More than a list pairing NPI with other data elements
- Remember to account for cross-over claim situations and secondary billing
- Consistency will help to ensure cash flow

- Mapping subparts in claims is hands-on
 - Don't hand off to vendors or other third parties you have to pay them whether or not you're paid
- Understanding input to output data flow is important when mapping claim data
 - What NPI was used for the eligibility or authorization for this claim?
- Can the vendor(s) support your business needs?

• Enumeration and reporting must be consistent across all mediums

- 4010A1, Paper, and DDE

• Does staff responsible for input and processing know which NPI to use by medium, health plan, provider, service, taxonomy, etc?

- Not just Patient Financial Services

 Have subpart methodologies been adequately explained to all affected personnel?

- Is the cost reporting system appropriately configured with NPI data?
- Decision Support
- Contract Management
- Reference Lab or other clinical systems that bill and may or may not have been enumerated as a subpart

- Organizational providers performing Professional billing
 - Potential conflicts between Type 1 and Type 2?
 - If your ED is now a subpart of the acute hospital, will a claim edit generate because the adjudication system is "looking for" the acute hospital's NPI?
 - Did you communicate that effectively to the plan?
 - Does the plan edit against this scenario?
- What do you know about how a plan's crosswalk will work?

- Few entities understand taxonomy codes and there is little help available
- Taxonomies used on claims may not match that submitted to NPPES which degrades the database
- Health plans need to set systems to accept a broad range of taxonomies to avoid rejecting transactions
- Health plans are collecting and storing taxonomies submitted by and collected from providers – need to collect all that will be used

- Some government payers do not have taxonomy codes that match their business
- Atypical providers can have taxonomy codes but may not obtain NPIs, e.g. Taxi
- Health plans may supply help for providers in determining taxonomy codes, but may be thought of as being prescriptive
- Maybe that's not always a bad thing...

- Rule One: Forget NPPES
- Most health plans are defining taxonomy code use
 - Are you considering secondary and crossover scenarios?
 - Is there a taxonomy that defines you or your subpart?
- If you are being asked to provide a taxonomy, are you asking a lot of questions?
- Claim mapping complexities are great

- Can your vendor(s) support multiple taxonomy scenarios and the complex mapping?
- Unanswered question
 - If an accrediting or other regulatory agency audits claims against Medical Staff credentialing documentation and the taxonomy/privileges don't match...what happens?
 - Documentation is critical

Subpart and Taxonomy Alternatives / Complements

- Location may be found with address (zip+4)
- Service Facility Location Loop
- Place of Service Codes used correctly
- Procedure codes and appropriate modifiers
- Type of Bill Codes
- Transaction Format 837I vs. 837P vs. 837D
- Be prepared to submit extra data the provider systems are not used to using

New Providers and Mid-Level Providers

- Health Plans have often paid mid-level providers based on bills from preceptors, e.g. CRNAs as billed from anesthesiologists
- QC was difficult because one provider could look like he/she was working 30 hours per day
- Payment is often different for mid-levels
- Transactions support mid-level as rendering provider while preceptor is supervising provider
- Health Plans may soon be enrolling these providers

New Providers and Mid-Level Providers

- Other providers, such as PT, OT, therapists, etc have often been billed as part of a group
- Some health plans have found that work was done by lowest level of provider, but billed by the group as though it was all the same
- Some health plans will be enrolling these providers individually as well
- Payment may change

- Dissemination Notice to OMB on 02/26/07
- OMB can take full 90-days (May 27, 2007)
 - No guarantees
 - Federal Privacy Rules
 - Federal Privacy Act of 1974
 - Freedom of Information Act
 - E-Government Act
 - System of Records
 - NPI Final Rule

- Dissemination vs. Disclosure
 - Dissemination: Au nom d'une autre personne
 - Disclosure of or access to numerous NPIs, some or none of which may be your own (conversational definition)
 - Disclosure: A provider sharing its NPI to another party or entity
- NPIs are protected identifiers
- Should not be a barrier to implementation

- Providers are free to disclose their NPIs to anyone and everyone.
- Must identify who needs your NPI and allocate the necessary resources to disclose it
 - Policies
 - Procedures
 - Training
- Understand the who, what, and when of disclosures

- Understand what is permitted if disseminating medical staff NPIs
 - Written authorizations
 - Established relationships and contractual permissions
 - Policies and procedures
 - What is defensible?

- Some health plans are ready to test, but providers are not
- Some providers are ready to test but health plans are not
- Who is going to blink first?
- Health plans must develop testing scenarios that challenge their systems
- Must test to be sure that what comes in is going out properly

- A test environment is helpful. It is really hard to test in a production environment
- Dual use is very helpful to assure that claims perform the same in parallel with production
- Test to be sure about the crosswalk functionality
- Test early. Test often. Repeat.

- Test now
 - Who's ready?
 - What to test?
 - Dual Use does NOT equate to testing
 - Employ if possible
 - Clarify use and intent with trading partner
- What does NPI readiness mean?
 - Your organization
 - Vendors
 - Trading Partners
 - Business Associates

- Testing Traps
 - Test environments
 - End to end?
 - Verification versus validation
 - Was internal testing congruent with external testing?

- Not everyone will be ready!!!!
- Develop a plan with triggers that let you know that it is time for a contingency
 - Providers are still not enumerated
 - System is not fully functional or tested
 - NPPES data is not available
- Determine your business continuity response

- Establish criteria that will work you out of the contingency operations
 - Increase provider outreach
 - Devote more resources
- Set deadlines for assuming normal operations
- Put it on paper and keep it handy

- Early starters
 - Secondary and crossover claims
 - Can your systems support a by plan implementation?
- Many will not be ready
 - How will you address?
 - Will you be forced into non-compliance?
 - What will your third party vendors do?

- If ready and able to make the compliance date,
 - How will you know if you're being paid correctly?
 - What is your communication plan for remediation?
 - Whom do you contact at each step?
- Transitional 835s
 - Do you know what you're going to receive as of May 23, 2007?
 - Do you know if your system can receive and process it?

Emerging Issues (As if These Weren't Enough)

- Secondary Providers Not all are covered entities, but it is hard to manage transactions with multiple identifiers.
 - Some payers setting hard edits too soon
 - Some payers don't look maybe not careful enough
- Return of the 835
 - If provider puts NPI of a subpart in the billing provider loop, but the NPI of the main entity in the pay to, the 835 only returns the pay-to information

Emerging Issues (As if These Weren't Enough)

- 835 IG Requirements
 - Check or EFT is created based upon the submitted NPI
 - Multiple checks per NPI are allowed
 - Multiple NPIs per check is not allowed
 - Grouping payments from multiple NPIs to a single legacy ID (EIN) and reporting to a single NPI is not allowed
- Do you know if this is an issue for you?

Emerging Issues (As if These Weren't Enough)

- E-Prescribing will eventually function with NPIs
- Claim Attachments need to match NPIs of the claim with attachments and clinical information in HL7 formats
- Electronic Medical Records will soon need to reference a provider with a unique identifier NPI?
- Pay for Performance is prepared to use the NPI for its data collection
- Next HIPAA version (5010?) will seek NPIs at lowest level of granularity

Thank you.