

BEIJING BRUSSELS CHICAGO DALLAS FRANKFURT GENEVA HONG KONG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK SAN FRANCISCO SHANGHAI SINGAPORE TOKYO WASHINGTON, D.0



#### Overview of the United States' Regulatory Environment

Presentation to International Pharmaceutical Regulatory and Compliance Congress and Best Practices Forum

Brussels, June 6, 2007

Paul E. Kalb, M.D., J.D.

- Pharmaceutical manufacturers in the United States are subject to numerous regulatory schemes
  - Health Care
  - Food and Drug
  - Government Contracting
  - Antitrust
  - Environmental
  - Corporate Governance
  - Numerous others



#### Health Care Laws

- Financial relationships between manufacturers and those in a position to purchase or prescribe
  - Wholesalers, distributors, GPOs, PBMs, managed care organizations, pharmacies, physicians
- Submission (or causing the submission) of "false claims"
  - Pricing of products reimbursed by the federal health care programs (Medicare and Medicaid)
  - Price reporting, for purposes of certain reimbursement and Medicaid rebates
- Privacy of personal health information



- Food and Drug Laws
  - Conduct of clinical trials
  - Drug approval and labeling
  - Manufacturing of drug products
  - Content of promotional messages



- Government Contracting Laws
  - Special government pricing
  - Financial relationships with government employees



- Overlapping jurisdiction
  - Examples:
    - Off-label/false claims
    - Kickback/false claims
    - Off-label/kickback
    - Fraud-on-the-FDA/false claims
- The issue generally is not "what statute was violated," but rather did the conduct:
  - Injure patients or put them at risk?
  - Affect patients' privacy rights?
  - Harm the government in some way?
  - Adversely affect a competitor or investors?
  - Corrupt the judgment of a government official or physician in some way?



#### **Regulatory Authorities**

- Wide range of sanctions
  - Criminal penalties for corporate entities as well as individuals
  - Civil penalties
  - "Injunctive" relief
    - Consent decrees
    - Corporate Integrity Agreements



### Regulatory Authorities

- Department of Health and Human Services
  - Office of Inspector General
- Food and Drug Administration
- Department of Justice
- State prosecutors

"Regulations by enforcement"



#### Regulatory Authorities

- Increasing role of whistleblowers in driving enforcement
  - Statutory "qui tam" provisions that allow whistleblowers to collect up to 30% of any financial recovery by the government
  - Whistleblower laws being used to attack conduct far beyond actual submission of false claims
    - Kickbacks
    - Off-label promotion



#### The Cost of Non-Compliance

 Since 2001, government has entered into settlements with pharmaceutical manufacturers exceeding \$350 million

Pharmaceutical	Company
----------------	---------

#### **Settlement Amount**

TAP Pharmaceutical Products	\$875 million
Serono	\$704 million
Abbott Pharmaceuticals	\$600 million
Pfizer	\$430 million
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals	\$355 million



# Emergence of Compliance as Key Corporate Function

- United States Sentencing Guidelines
- OIG Compliance Program Guidance for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers
- PhRMA Code
- Corporate Integrity Agreements



# Emergence of Compliance as Key Corporate Function

- Formalization of compliance structures and organizations
- Enhanced authority/statute for compliance officers
- Integration of disparate compliance functions within organizations
- Shift from "policy/training" perspective to "audit/verify" perspective
- Proactive approach to problems



## **END**

