

Star Ratings Overview

- More High Quality Plans & Savings since P4P
 - BUT PROGRESS IS SLIPPING!
- Lack of Strong Behavioral Measures
- Socioeconomic status
 - Risk Adjustment vs. Stratification.
- More Meaningful Measures & Reducing Burden

MA Stars Before ACA P4P

Largely Ignored, Little Change Year to Year "No Business Case for Quality"



MA Stars Since ACA P4P Began in 2012

44% of Plans Have 4-5 Stars for 2018

- Up from 31% in 2012,
- BUT, down from 49% in 2017
- 4-5 Star plans have 73% of all MA enrollees

Payments to plans 101% of FFS (MedPAC)

- Quality bonuses are 3% of total payment
- Average premium down ~6%

16.1M/34.5% of all beneficiaries now in MA

Up 60+% from pre-ACA, faster than FFS

Need for More & Better Behavioral Measures

Key measure development focus due to:

- Impact physical as well as behavioral care & costs
- Lack of integration & improvement
 - Initiation &Engagement Alcohol/ Drug Treatment
- Opioid High Dose,
 Multi-providers

- Depression Screening & Follow-Up
- Unhealthy Alcohol Use Screening & Follow-Up

SES Stratification vs. Risk Adjustment

STRATIFICATION

- Increases transparency
- Helps target QI
- Highlights rather than hides disparities
- Allows comparison of disparities among plans

RISK ADJUSTMENT

- Minimal impact
- Sweeps
 <u>disparities</u>
 <u>under the</u>
 <u>rug</u> without
 addressing
 them



NCQA Alignment with 'Meaningful Measures'

Reduce Reporting Burden



moving to electronic reporting from data entered into EHRs, registries, HIEs

Streamline Measures



systematically reviewing where & how to retire duplicative, topped-out, low-value measures

Measure Outcomes

(NCQA

focused on outcome, particularly Patient-Reported Outcomes Measures (PROMs)