# California Dual Eligibles' Transition to Medicare Part D

Presentation to
National Medicaid Congress
by

Teresa Ann Miller, Pharm.D.

California Department of Health Services





# **Dual Eligibles**

- Nationally, 6.4 million
  - 1.074 million (16%) reside in California
    - 937,000 in Medi-Cal fee-for-service
    - 137,000 in Medi-Cal managed care





# The Challenges

- 1 day to transition 1 million dual eligible Californians to Part D
- While choice for duals is good, choice among ten plans is complicated
  - If changed (or selected) plans during December, the information was not available to pharmacists online – caused much confusion





# The Challenges (cont)

- If dual eligibles with retirement coverage enrolled in Part D,
  - likely to lose their employer medical coverage.
- Plans not required to share dual eligible data with states
  - California working with 10 different plans to obtain)
- Plans each have different formularies and provider networks





# **California Actions**

(prior to January 1, 2006)

#### Outreach

- multi-language to beneficiaries; interdepartmental coordination; HICAP/SHIP network; advocates, pharmacy orgs)
- 100 day supply (Dec. 05)
- Continued coverage of most Medicare non-covered (excluded) drugs
- Pharmacy claims data to plans
- Extra staffing to handle calls (January)





## California could not afford

- Wrap around for Medicare <u>covered</u> drugs
- Co-pays for dual eligibles
- Premiums for duals to enroll in more costly Part D plans
- Premiums for Medicare Advantage Plans





# January 1, 2006

Many confused, scared, angry
Medi-Cal beneficiaries who had trouble
obtaining their medications





## First two weeks.....

- Mass confusion in pharmacies
- Phone and data lines overwhelmed
  - CMS (1-800 Medicare)
  - E-1 transactions (eligibility) not working
  - Many plans unreachable





# California Steps In to Help

- Jan. 12th Governor directs CDHS to implement 5-day emergency program
- Feb 9th Legislature gives Governor authority to extend the program until May 16, 2006
- May 17<sup>th</sup> Legislature modifies and extends emergency program
  - Through January 31, 2007
  - Adds prior authorization requirement





# California's Emergency Program

- Jan. 12 to May 16<sup>th</sup>
  - Pharmacist self-certified, claim submitted and adjudicated electronically
- May 17<sup>th</sup> to Jan. 31, 2007
  - Pharmacist must obtain prior authorization from CDHS, claim must be faxed





# California's Emergency Program

- January 12 May 16, 2006
  - 614,953 claims
  - \$58 million
  - 177,732 different people affected





# California's Emergency Program

- May 17 May 31, 2006
  - 2,370 claims
  - **-** \$317,533
  - 1,500 different people affected





### E-1 transactions (eligibility)

- Data in system improved
- Many pharmacists still not aware of how to use

#### Claims Processing

Inappropriate co-pays returned (various reasons)

### Wellpoint/Anthem/Unicare (Failsafe)

- Only available for "missed" duals
- Many pharmacists not aware of, or not willing to use, based on experience early on





### Prescription Drug Plans (PDPs)

- Difficult to train customer service reps on this complex benefit
- Transition Plans
  - not always clear how to access
- Exceptions Process





#### Long Term Care

- In many cases, residents not identified correctly in system, therefore incorrect copays returned
- If dual eligible had a "representative payee", CMS auto-enrolled them in a plan in the representative payee's region (rather than where the dual resides)





#### Enrollment issues

- People who change plans lose LIS
- New enrollees don't get autoassigned until mid-month and may not show up in plan's electronic systems until late in month (ongoing system issue)





#### Home Infusion

- Now requires split billing
  - Medi-Cal
    - supplies and "excluded" drugs
    - Seeking clarification from CMS on dispensing fees/compounding fees
  - Part D
    - Part D coverable drugs only
- Plans not used to dealing with home infusion providers





#### Long Term Care

- In many cases, residents not identified correctly in system, therefore incorrect copays returned
- If dual eligible has a "representative payee", CMS auto-enrolled them in a plan in the representative payee's region (rather than where the dual resides)





### Prescription Drug Plans (PDPs)

- Phone line response times have improved, but quality of info still an issue
  - Difficult to train customer service reps on complex benefit
- Transition Plans
  - Even though extended, not always clear how to access
- Exceptions/Prior Authorization process
  - Every plan is different
  - Not clear to pharmacist if this process has been completed
  - In California, physicians who serve Medi-Cal are not used to having to call plans for prior authorization (pharmacist handles)





# When to discontinue emergency coverage?

- Key problems still exist that are not addressed
  - Ability of plans to respond to CMS's direction for key new functions (e.g. transition policies, exceptions process)
  - Physicians and pharmacists completely discouraged
    - Maze of procedures, contact numbers, requirements creates barrier to use
    - Almost total lack of activity may signal obstacles





# When to discontinue emergency coverage?

 CMS data often too general to be conclusive – need more quantifiable data

#### Plan phone lines

- Wait times are down
  - For what time periods?
  - Quality of the information provided?

#### Results of CMS case work

- How many received?
- Resolved?
- Days to resolve?





# The Transition to Part D Has Been Rocky for Many

- Even with auto-enrollment process, some duals were missed
- Plans did not always follow transitional protocols required by CMS
- Some duals were overcharged for drugs
- People with cognitive impairments have been particularly vulnerable





# **Challenges After Enrollment**

- Once enrolled, dual eligibles need time to understand their new coverage
  - Learning how Medicare drug plans work in ways that may be different from Medicaid
  - Adjusting to new formularies and co-payments
  - Securing exceptions if they need non-formulary drugs
- Care for dual eligibles may become more fragmented as Medicaid, Medicare, and Part D plans must coordinate





# **Observations**

- Dual eligibles' high rates of chronic illness, including mental disorders, makes management of their cases complicated and expensive.
- In addition to their poor health status, dual eligible beneficiaries have very low incomes.
- Dual eligibles require extensive health care services and many are reliant on prescription drugs.
  - Medicare Part D transition has been difficult and requires ongoing monitoring.





# Conclusion

- Most people are getting their medications
- CMS is working to resolve remaining problems
- Situation is improving but some issues will likely take a long time to fix (e.g. system issues)





# **QUESTIONS?**



