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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Type of claims</th>
<th>How selected</th>
<th>Volume of claims</th>
<th>Purpose of review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QIO</td>
<td>Inpt hospital</td>
<td>All claims where hospital submits an adj claim for a higher DRG. Expedited coverage review requested by bene</td>
<td>Very small</td>
<td>To prevent improper payment thru upcoding. To resolve disputes between bene and hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Randomly</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>To measure improper payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Targeted</td>
<td>Depends on # of claims with improper payments</td>
<td>To prevent future improper payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Targeted</td>
<td>Depends on the # of claims with improper payments</td>
<td>To detect and correct past improper payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSCZPIC</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Targeted</td>
<td>Depends on the # of potential fraud claims</td>
<td>To identify potential fraud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIG</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Targeted</td>
<td>Depends on the # of potential fraud claims</td>
<td>To identify Fraud</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# CMS Quarterly Newsletter –
*Based on collected amts thru Sept 30, 2011*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Overpaymts ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Underpaymt</th>
<th>Total 3rd Q Corrections (Based on actual collections)</th>
<th>FY to Date Corrections Data Oct 2010–Sept 30, 2011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region A/DCS</td>
<td>$ 43.3</td>
<td>$ 5.8</td>
<td>$ 49.1</td>
<td>$146.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region B/CGI</td>
<td>$ 60.4</td>
<td>$ 3.2</td>
<td>$ 63.6</td>
<td>$170.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region C/Connolly</td>
<td>$ 65.2</td>
<td>$ 60.7</td>
<td>$125.9</td>
<td>$260.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region D/HDI</td>
<td>$108.2</td>
<td>$ 6.9</td>
<td>$115.1</td>
<td>$361.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationwide Totals</td>
<td>$277.1</td>
<td>$ 76.6</td>
<td>$353.7</td>
<td>$939.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Top Issues per Region/9–2011

- Region A: Renal & Urinary Tract Disorders (medically necessary/incorrect setting)
- Region B: Surgical Cardiovascular Procedures (medically necessary)
- Region C: Acute inpt admission neurological disorders (medically necessary)
- Region D: Minor surgeries and other treatment billed as an inpt (medically necessary)

*When pts with known dx enter a hospital for a specific minor surgical procedure and is expected to keep them less than 24 hrs, they are considered outpt regardless of the hour they present to the hospital, whether a bed was used or whether they remain after midnight.*
Change the Inpt surgery process

- Surgery director and surgery scheduler join the preventive team.
- UR reviews all inpt surgeries prior to surgery. Reviews the H&P, discusses how well the surgeon has tied in the risk to the reason for a normal outpt to be done as an inpt.
- Works with provider and Surgery to potentially revise to an outpt, wait for the adverse/unexpected event and move to obs or inpt or improve the inpt documentation.
- Involved nursing in the education as they will be the bedside eyes of the pt status.
Only physician’s can ....

- Determining correct status
- Clarifying order of the status
  - Examples of weak orders: Admit to Dr Joe, Admit to tele, Transfer to the floor, admit to 23:59, admit to medical service, admit to FIT. None clearly define: Admit to inpt status and why – add (intent of the order)
- Directing the clinical team as to the intensity of services that need provided when the pt ‘hits the bed’ as well as thru the course of treatment.
- 42 CFR 482.12 (c) (2) “Patients are admitting to the hospital only on a recommendation of a licensed practitioner permitted by the state to admit pts to the hospital. “
- Medicare State Operations Manual “In no case may a non-physician make a final determination that a pt’s stay is not medically necessary or appropriate.” Case Mgt protocol can ‘recommend’ to the providers but only takes effect when the provider has authenticated it.
If a non-attending/admitting...

- Many facilities are using outside physician advisors or are growing their own advisors—many times the UR physician.
- Ensure that any 2\textsuperscript{nd} opinion by a non-treating provider is ‘validated’ and used for directing care by the attending/admitting. Otherwise it is just another non-treating opinion. Additionally, look for educational opportunities thru patterns --dx, documentation, doctor.
- Double check with the QIO for their opinion during audit.
Concurrent auditing of 2\textsuperscript{nd} opinions for pt status

- Ensure the provider receiving the 2\textsuperscript{nd} opinion carries the recommendation into the record and directs care from the recommendation.

- Auditing of the primary provider’s documentation should include: Clearly outlining the severity of illness in the admit note/order PLUS nursing documenting to the Intensity of services that must be done as an inpt.

- Nursing is usually unaware of the status they are documenting.
EMR Challenges

- Hybrid records present extreme challenges in identifying the skilled care/handoffs of intensity of service between the care areas.
- EMRs tend to present the patient’s history in a ‘cookie cutter’ concept without pt specific issues.
- Treatment/outcomes/results of ordered services are often omitted from the clinical/nursing record.
“RAC will review documentation to validate the medical necessity of short stay, uncomplicated admissions of MS DRG (XXX). Medicare only pays for inpatient hospital services that are medically necessary for the setting billed and that are coded correctly. Medical documentation will be reviewed to determine that the services were medically necessary and were billed correctly.”

“RACs will also review documentation for DRG Validation requiring that diagnostic and procedural information and the discharge status of the beneficiary, as coded and reported by the hospital on its claim, matches both the attending physician description and the information contained in the beneficiary’s medical record. Reviewers will validate for MS–DRG, principal diagnosis, secondary diagnoses and procedures affecting or potential affecting the DRG.” (Aug 2010)
Physician and Hospital Shared Risk – Pt Status

- Trailblazer/MAC Jurisdiction 4, 8–30–10 “Inappropriate Hospital Admission vs Outpt Observation”
- Medicare requirements that the inpt admission begins when the admission order is written. Additionally, all physician orders must have a date and a legible signature.
- Physician’s decision to treat the pt as an outpt or inpt are reflected in the physician’s orders. The pt’s condition, history and current dx test results, along with the physician’s medical judgment, availability of treatment modalities and hospital admission policies should be considered when making a decision to provide inpt level of care. If a physician determines additional information is making a medical decision for inpt admission, the physician may elect to place in OBS outpt status.
More from Trailblazers

- Scenario 1
- An inpt claim is submitted for medical review
  - The claim is without a written and signed physician order for admission
  - The documentation is without an admit note describing the reason for admission to an inpt level of care/LOC
  - The services rendered could have been rendered in an outpt setting
  - The screening tool indicates the intensity of services and the severity of illness of the pt’s condition as documented did not support the medical necessity for inpt LOC
  - Medical review decision: Denied because documentation does not support the medical necessity for an acute level of care
  - IF THE PATIENT’S CONDITION REQUIRES INPT ADMISSION, the physician needs to document an inpt admission order with a progress note describing the medical decision for the inpt admission and the intended treatment plan to address the patient’s condition.
  - Internet Only Medicare Manual (IOM) Pub 100–04, Medicare Claims Processing Manual; chapter 1, section 50.3; chapter 3, section 40.2.2.k
Focus resources on BOTH documentation to clarify coding requirements (with much less queries)

AND documentation to support INPT status

Create “pearls” of education on how easy it is to improve the documentation – per specialty.

PS this will also help prepare facilities for ICD 10…

Tie in the coder’s queries to track and trend documentation challenges, per provider.
Limitations on prepayment won’t exceed current post payment ADR limits.
Medical records provided on appeal will be remanded to the RAC for review.
Claims will be off limits from future post payment reviews.
ADR letter will advise where to send: RAC or MAC.
30 days to reply.

- June 1 – 312/Syncope
- Aug 1 – 069/Transient Ischemia; 377/GI hemorrhage w/MCC
- Sept 1 – 378/GI Hemorrhage w CC; 379/GI Hemorrhage w/o CC/MCC
- Oct 1 – 637/diabetes w/MCC; 638/diabetes w/CC; 639/diabetes w/o CC/MCC
RAC @cms.hhs.gov
//go.cms.gov/cert-demos
MACs are auditing … w/CMS moving from 15 to 11 MACS

- can be the same material as the RACs.
- Ex. Az hospital had a ST MUE error. They received automated demand letters from HDI; however, they also received ‘first notice’ from WPS on the same issue. Per WPS, the site has 30 days from receipt of the WPS letter without interest to repay or be recouped on the 41st day with interest.
- No published items; no limits on requests, same appeal rights. Letters SOMETIMES explain..
- WPS – Prepayment 310, 313, 192, 690
- NHIC – Prepayment auditing of Chest pain, syncope and collapse, CHF.
More MAC audits

- **Noridian/J3** has announced Probe audits for AZ, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY
- Probe for 1 day stays, 2 day stays, 3 day stays and high dollar (w/o definition of $)
- Noridian was awarded JF MAC on 8–22–11
  Includes ID, ND, Alaska, WA, Ore, SD, MT, WY, UT and AZ. Look for more wide spread auditing. Using CERT data for more probes
Highmark (Now Novitas Solutions)

◦ Probe for DRG 470/Major Joint Replacement or reattachment of lower extremity w/MCC. Need to document end stage joint disease & failed conservative therapy. (EX: Trailblazer Transmittal ID 14362/LCD)

◦ Probe for DRG 244 Permanent Cardiac Pacemaker implant w/o CC or MCC.

◦ NEW: 313, 392, 292 (2012)

◦ Msg from provider: Have been having 100% prepayment audit payment for DRG 313/chest pain for almost 2 years now. The site indicates they are being successful around 90% of time at the 3rd level appeal/ALJ but it is taking about 18 months. There does not appear to be a change with the pre-payment review even with the overturn rate. (per PA facility history 9–11)
And more MAC

- **Trailblazer/TX highlights**
  - Developed LCD 41–96SAB for Hydration (96360–61)
  - Reviewing DRG appeals and determining patient status was incorrect. Denied entire inpt stay.
  - Issued 5 DRGs that will be on prepayment review: 243, 246, 247, 460, 470 (Ex: Stents, pacemaker, Joint replacement hip/knee with LCD)
  - 2011– Lost MAC bid. Highmark awarded. 1/12 – Highmark ‘s Medicare Division , MAC J12, was sold to BC/BC of FL (BCBSF) with their subsidiary, First Coast who is a MAC J9.
MACs are beefing up prepayment auditing – with physician impact

- **Trailblazer**: to increase consistency in Medicare reimbursement, effective 11–11, Trailblazer will begin cross-claim review of these services. The related Part B service (E&M, procedures) reported to Medicare will be evaluated for reimbursement on a post payment basis. Overpayments will be requested for services related to the inpt stay that are found to be in error.

- **First Coast & HighMark/Novitas**: similar 3–12 TX hospital lost 470; provider recouped
More MAC auditing

- **Palmetto**, Pre Payment Auditing
- Began early 2012
- DRGs focus:
  - 871 Septicemia/Sepsis
  - 641 Misc disorders of nutrition
  - 690 Kidney / UTI
  - 470 Joint replacement

Site: CA site. Prior to Feb, 2012 – never had a pre-payment audit request. Had 12 in 1st request.
And more MAC – AL hospital

Cahaba – Pre-Auditing of the below DRGs. (2–12)

- 069 (Transient Ischemia)
- 191 (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease w CC)
- 195 (Simple Pneumonia & Pleurisy w/o CC/MCC)
- 247 (Percutaneous Cardiovascular Procedure w Drug–Eluting Stent w/o MCC)
- 287 (Circulatory Disorders Except AMI, w Cardiac Cath w/o MCC)
- 313 (Chest Pain)
- 392 (Esophagitis, Gastroenteritis & Misc Digestive Disorders /o MCC)
- 552 (Medical Back Problems w/o MCC)
- 641 (Nutritional & Misc Metabolic Disorders w/o MCC)
- 945 (Rehabilitation w CC/MCC)
- 470 (Joint replacement)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRG</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2009 Error Rate</th>
<th>2010 Error Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>55.16%</td>
<td>76.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td>Medical back pain w/o MCC</td>
<td>70.92%</td>
<td>71.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>Gastro &amp; misc disorders w/o MCC</td>
<td>49.08%</td>
<td>41.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>Nutrition misc metabolic disorder w/o MCC</td>
<td>49.27%</td>
<td>48.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>Cardiac defib w/o cath lab w/o MCC</td>
<td>20.65%</td>
<td>45.43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OB – protocols

Physicians/extended must order/direct pt care, pt specific.

Protocols are excellent clinical pathways, but the physician must order the protocol.

EX) Pt is 26 weeks. Nursing implements protocol for under 27 weeks. Doesn’t call the provider until results from first items on the protocol. Not billable. Must contact the provider to initiate protocol, then follow protocol. Billable.
CERT audits have continued to identify weakness in the use of Protocols.

EX) Lab urine test ordered but culture done as 2\textsuperscript{nd} test due to protocol. (Noridian/Nov 2009)

EX) Without contrast but 2\textsuperscript{nd} one done with contrast based on protocols.

Ensure the order is either updated or the initial order clearly states ‘with protocol as necessary.”

\textbf{YEAH} – how about including the protocols that are referenced in the record when submitting for audit?
Contact Info for RACs (9–10)

- New issues will be posted, RAC specific
- There is a CMS/project officer assigned to each RAC
- New issues are being added/some are being taken off.

- Region A–DCS  Info@dcsrac.com  866 201 0580
- Region B–CGI  RACB@cgi.com  877 316 7222
- Region C–Connolly  
  www.connollyhealthcare.com/RAC; RACinfo@connollyhealthcare.com  8663602507
- Region D–HDI  racinfo@emailhdi.com  8665905598
Day Egusquiza, President
AR Systems, Inc
Box 2521
Twin Falls, Id 83303
208 423 9036
daylee1@mindspring.com

Thanks for joining us!
Free info line available.
Plus our training website: www.healthcare-seminar.com