

Can we afford the new wave of “high value” health care?



Sovaldi: Remember when?

At \$84,000 Gilead Hepatitis C Drug Sets Off Payer Revolt

Save +

By Drew Armstrong | Jan 27, 2014 3:09 PM CT | 119 Comments [Email](#) [Print](#)



As Gilead Sciences (GILD) touted its new pill hepatitis C cure to investors in a hot market in San Francisco



What are the policy options for managing affordability?

Policy Option 1: Medical Tourism

Savings in Egypt: \$80,000+



Managing Affordability: Status Quo Policy Options

- Use evidence to limit coverage
 - Narrowing eligible patient population
 - Prior authorization and step therapy
 - Deny coverage to more expensive options
- Save on other drugs and/or other spending areas
- Raise health insurance premiums
- Increase cost-sharing for patients
- Lower the price
 - Public shaming

Why the status quo alone won't work: “The pipeline is full!”

- PCSK9 inhibitors are coming in 2015
- Pricing estimates: \$7,000-\$12,000 per year
- Target populations
 - Familial hypercholesterolemia = 620,000
 - High cholesterol despite statins = 1 million
 - LDL elevated and statin intolerant = 2 million
 - Previous cardiac event and statin ineffective = 5 million
- Potential ongoing *annual* drug costs
 - FH alone: \$6.2 billion
 - High cholesterol despite statins: \$10 billion
 - Statin intolerant: \$20 billion
 - Cardiac event and statin ineffective: \$50 billion
- Total ongoing annual budget impact = \$50-\$75 billion

Managing Affordability: Innovative Policy Options

- Plan ahead for possible service trade-offs
- Change the rules for IP
 - Compulsory licensing/ eminent domain
 - Grant/prize for incentivizing R&D
- Change the financing mechanisms
 - Amortization, secondary credit markets
 - Infusions from government(s)
- Lower the price
 - But what's a reasonable price?

California Technology Assessment Forum (CTAF)

- Independent CTAF Panel of physicians and lay members
- Evidence reports including clinical effectiveness assessment and economic modeling
- Votes on comparative effectiveness and value of new tests and therapies
- Recommendations on options for coverage policies and clinical practice
- New: “value-based price benchmark” for negotiation and policy debate

CTAF and Hepatitis C

- March 2014 report on Sovaldi
 - Voted clinically superior but “low value”
 - Prioritization of treatment to patients with liver fibrosis recommended as most practical option
- December 2014 report on Harvoni and other newest all-oral regimens
 - Voted clinically superior and took new two-part votes on value

Care Value and Health System Value

- Care value is a judgment of incremental cost-effectiveness.
 - Threshold for “high” care value = incremental cost/QALY < \$50,000-\$100,000
- Health system value is a judgment of affordability
 - Threshold for “high” health system value = total budget impact < 0.5%-1.0% PMPM

CTAF and Hepatitis C

- December 2014 report on Harvoni and other newest all-oral regimens
 - Clinical effectiveness of all new regimens excellent and indistinguishable
 - Harvoni list price known at \$95,000
 - Voting on value assuming current price and payment mechanisms:
 - Reasonable-high care value (cost/QALY < \$50,000)
 - Low health system value (budget impact ~ 5% PMPM)
- “Value-based price benchmark” to meet both care value and health system value thresholds = \$34,000-\$42,000

\$34,000-\$42,000

- Original maker of Sovaldi disclosed in SEC filing an anticipated list price of Sovaldi of \$36,000
- At the list price of \$95,000 for 12 weeks only those patients with advanced liver fibrosis could be treated in order to remain below the budget impact threshold
- At \$34,000-\$42,000 all eligible patients could be treated
- In December, approval of competitor for Harvoni triggered active negotiations and sole drug contracts
 - CTAF price benchmark discussed among major PBM clients
- Gilead announces that 2015 average discounts in the U.S. market will be 46%
 - Average regimen cost is now ~ \$40,000

So how will we be able to afford the PCSK9 drugs?

- Use evidence to limit coverage
- Save on other drugs and/or other spending areas
- Plan ahead for possible service trade-offs
- Raise health insurance premiums
- Increase cost-sharing for patients
- Change the rules for IP
- Change the financing mechanisms
- Lower the price
 - Public shaming
 - Value-based price benchmark

Thank you