

Resolving Challenges in Physician-Level Measurement

David S. P. Hopkins, Ph.D. Pacific Business Group on Health

Pay for Performance Summit February 28, 2008

j'j OVERVIEW

- Why measure at the physician level?
- What measures?
- How will they be used?
- What data sources?
- Vehicles for physician performance measurement
- California vehicle: CPPI
- Challenges
- Overcoming the challenges
- Conclusions

Why Measure at the Physician Level?

- Patients seeking information about their physicians
- Most atomic unit
 Can always roll up to group (but not vice versa)
- Wide variation in physician practices
- Individual MDs responsible for providing/ordering care
- "Team care" isn't reality yet in most areas of the country

ijj What Measures?

Follow IOM 6 Quality Aims:

- Safe, Timely, Effective: process and outcome measures
- Efficient: cost of care measures
- Patient-centered: survey-based measures
- Equitable: measures stratified by subpopulations

Standardization is key!!!

iii What Uses?

- <u>Consumers</u> seeking valid performance information to choose providers and treatments
- <u>Purchasers</u> building performance expectations into their contracts, payments, and benefit designs
- <u>Health plans</u> and <u>physician groups</u> creating physician incentives and rewards
- Physicians making referral decisions
- Physicians acting on their desire to improve

Vehicles for Physician Performance Measurement

- CMS Physician Quality Reporting Initiative
- Physician groups
- Health plans
- Multi-stakeholder collaboratives

"Care Focused Purchasing:"

employers + commercial plans

- BQI pilots: commercial plans + CMS
- RWJF Aligning Forces for Quality community collaboratives
- Chartered Value Exchanges

jij What Data Sources?

- Encounters/Claims
 - Diagnoses + what's done/not done
- "Clinically enriched claims"
 Claims + Rx + lab + registries, etc.
- EHR
- Patient charts
- Patient surveys

ijj Why Aggregate Data?

- Increase sample size
 - E.g., most PCPs have approx. 5% patients with diabetes
 - need 600, or approximately 1/3 of all patients to get sample of 30
 - Unlikely single payer accounts for that much of physician's practice
- Produce standardized performance information for use by all

California Physician Performance Initiative (CPPI)

- Grows out of Calif. BQI pilot
- Multi-payer claims database: CMS + commercial plans + (maybe) Medi-Cal
- Start with FFS little studied, little understood
- Staged measurement approach:
 - Cycle 1 (2004-05 data): 5 quality measures
 - Cycle 2 (2004-06 data): 12 quality measures + cost-efficiency
 - Cycle 3 (2005-07 data): 70⁺ quality measures + cost-efficiency
- Opportunity to supplement with patient experience data already being collected
- Sponsored by multi-stakeholder collaborative:
 California Cooperative Healthcare Reporting Initiative (CCHRI)

Challenges

- Small "n"
- Physician attribution
- Limitations of claims data
- Small number of usable standardized measures
- Cost, but no quality measures
- Quality, but no cost measures
- Physician resistance

Divercoming Challenges

- Small "n"
 - Aggregate data
 - Aggregate measures (= composites)
- Physician attribution
 - Test alternative rules:
 - 1. "one-touch"
 - 2. X% of visits/charges
 - 3. limited specialties
 - Validate with physicians
 - "yes, my patient"

Discussion Overcoming Challenges (Cont.)

- Limitations of claims data
 - Improve coding
 - Supplement with other sources: Rx, lab, registries, etc.
 - ➤ (eventually) Migrate to EHR
- Too few measures
 - Use all available nationally endorsed claimsbased measures (n = 10-30)
 - Test additional evidence-based measures from other sources
 - If successful, put through national endorsement process (NQF)

Overcoming Challenges (Cont.)

- Cost, but no quality
 - Key question: is cost alone better than nothing?
 - Considerations
 - "Cost is an outcome" (B. James)
 - Concern that focus on cost alone will drive down quality
 - What if cost variation is due to lack of evidence on efficacy/effectiveness?
- Quality, but no cost
 - Report cost along with quality
- Physician resistance
 - Include them in the process

ijj **Conclusions**

- Physician-level measurement is here to stay
- Many challenges remaining to be overcome
- Many experiments under way
- Jury is out
- Fallback: roll up measures to small groups (e.g., practice sites)