

The Effectiveness of Pay for Performance To-Date: Lessons Learned and Program Adaptations

> Barbra G. Rabson MHQP Executive Director March 10, 2009 Pay for Performance Summit

The Impact Of Pay For Performance On Health Care Quality In Massachusetts, 2001–2003

"Overall, P4P contracts were not associated with greater improvement in quality compared to arising secular trend. Future research is required to determine whether changes to the magnitude, structure, or alignment of P4P incentives can lead to improved quality."

Pearson, et al, *Health Affairs 27, no. 4 (2008): 1167–76* 



# MHQP's Performance Reporting Initiatives

- Five years of public release of physician performance of medical groups using clinical HEDIS measures
- Two statewide surveys and public release of patient experience with PCPs and specialists with a third survey and public release planned for 2010
- Created AQA physician measures from merged database of Commercial and MA Medicare data for BQI pilot project
- Partnered with RAND to research impact of different methodology and decision rules in measuring efficiency
- Led the selection, design, development and calculation of clinical quality measures from electronic health records for the MA eHealth Collaborative Quality Data Center
- Analytic consultant for the MA Quality and Cost Council



### MHQP's Brand Promise

### Health care information you can trust

 MHQP provides reliable information to help physicians improve the quality of care they provide their patients and help consumers take an active role in making informed decisions about their health care.



#### quality reports : clinical quality



#### QUALITY INSIGHTS: CLINICAL QUALITY IN PRIMARY CARE

#### Medical Groups Summary: Diabetes Care For Adults

click on the measure name to learn more information about the measure			
Cholesterol (LDL-C) Screening Test			
☆☆☆☆			
☆☆☆☆			
<b>☆☆☆</b> ☆☆			

Click on a medical group to view results on all measures

www.mhqp.org

MASSACHUSETTS HEALTH QUALITY PARTNERS trusted information. quality insights.



ome	quality repor	ts guidelines about us	4	printer friendly			
nical q	quality	quality reports : clinical qualit	v · medical arc	nup			
nedica	al group	performance	y . meanan gre				
	mance						
18.a		QUALITY INSIGHTS: CLINICAL QU	ALITY IN PRIMARY	CARE			
elpful	l resources						
echnic	cal appendix	Atrius Health, Harvard Vanguard Braint	ree 🚌 🌇				
disclaimer 🛛 🖬 Go To Group's Website							
icknov	wledgements		_				
tient e	experiences	Clinical Measure	Rate	Rating			
ers o	f support	Asthma Care					
		Medications for Children (Ages 5 to 17)	97.7%	☆☆☆☆			
		Medications for Adults (Ages 18 to 56)	93.0%	<b>☆☆☆</b> ☆			
		Depression Care for Adults					
		Short-term Medication	81.1%	숦숦숦숦			
		Long-term Medication	63.2%	☆☆☆☆			
		Follow-up Appointments	40.0%	☆☆☆☆			
		Diabetes Care for Adults					
		HbA1c Test	94.1%	숦숦숦숦			
		Cholesterol (LDL-C) Screening Test	92.3%	☆☆☆☆			
		Tests to Monitor Kidney Disease	90.1%	☆☆☆☆			
		Diagnostic and Preventive Care					
		Correct Imaging Test Use for Lower Back Pain	74.1%	☆☆☆☆			
		Colorectal Cancer Screening Tests (Ages 50 to 8	0) 85.3%	☆☆☆☆			
		Heart Disease and Cholesterol Management					



www.mhqp.org

#### 5 Year Trend for Publicly Reported MHQP Measures

Measures	MA Rate HEDIS 08, PY 07	MA Rate HEDIS 07, PY 06	MA Rate HEDIS 06, PY 05	MA Rate HEDIS 05, PY 04	MA Rate HEDIS 04, PY 03	Point Improvement from HEDIS 04 - HEDIS 08
Chlamydia Screening (21-25 yrs)	53%	51%	48%	45%	41%	12 points
Chlamydia Screening (16-20 yrs)	51%	49%	47%	45%	42%	9 points
Well-care Visits (12-21 yrs)	73%	73%	71%	71%	67%	6 points
Comprehensive Diabetes Care – HbA1c Testing	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	4 points
Well-child Visits 1 <sup>st</sup> 15 Months of Life (6+ Visits)	94%	96%	92%	87%	90%	4 points



# Areas For Targeted Improvement Efforts

- Despite statewide improvements, there continues to be large variation among groups
- Achieving better chronic disease <u>outcomes</u> for Diabetes, CAD and Asthma
- Decreasing inappropriate use of diagnostic imaging services
- Engaging MA teens in preventive care services
- Achieving better management of depression



# Setting Performance Goals

- Why establish a performance goal?
  - NCQA 90<sup>th</sup> % performance sometimes lacking as a benchmark
  - Counter expectations that 100% is attainable for all measures
  - Set achievable targets for groups
- Established goal with input from physician workgroup
- Agreed on 75<sup>th</sup> percentile score among 150 medical groups as performance goal



Physician Engagement Key to Improvements

- Medical groups use MHQP reports to set internal goals and distribute incentives
- MHQP process for reviewing contentious issues builds credibility
  - Concerns about specific measures taken seriously in public reporting decisions



# Measurement Challenges

- Gaining alignment on measurement
  - Data sources, measures, methodology
  - Competing measurement initiatives from state, health plans, employers
- Accessing data sources to create robust and meaningful measures for primary care and specialists
  - Facilitate linkage of HIT with quality measurement
- Securing ongoing funding to support collaborative measurement and reporting



## Observations

- We can and have moved the bar on performance, but it is hard to tease out specific cause and effect
- Despite statewide improvements, there continues to be large variation among groups
- Difficult to get people and organizations to buy into "single source" data/measurement for multiple purposes

- Everyone wants to own their own database/registry



### For more information about MHQP...

# Barbra Rabson, *Executive Director* brabson@mhqp.org 617- 402-5015

### Website: www.mhqp.org

