Measurement Implications of Performance-Based Payment Models

Eric C. Schneider, MD, MSc, FACP

Senior Scientist and Director

RAND Boston

Associate Professor

Division of General Medicine and Primary Care, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, and Harvard School of Public Health

March 24, 2011



RAND Project Team

- Peter Hussey, PhD
- Ateev Mehrotra, MD
- Melony Sorbero, PhD
- Meredith Rosenthal, PhD (consultant)
- Chris Schnyer, MPP



Outline

- Purposes of performance measurement
- Performance measure needs created by the payment reform models?
- Near-term priorities for measure development
- Implementation challenges
- Conclusions



Reporting of Performance Results: Many Related Purposes

- Set payment incentives
- Counter the adverse effects of payment incentives
- Identify opportunities to improve
- Monitor progress toward improvement goals
- Inform consumers/purchasers to enable selection of providers
- Stimulate competition among providers
- Stimulate innovation
- Promote the "values" of the health system



Reporting of Performance Results: Many Related Purposes

- Set payment incentives
- Counter the adverse effects of payment incentives
- Identify opportunities to improve
- Monitor progress toward improvement goals
- Inform consumers/purchasers to enable selection of providers
- Stimulate competition among providers
- Stimulate innovation
- Promote the "values" of the health system



Goals of Payment Reform Models



Cost containment goals

- Reverse the FFS incentive to provide more services
- Introduce incentives for efficiency
- Manage financial risk
- •Align payment incentives to support quality goals

Quality goals

- •Increase or maintain appropriate and necessary care
- Reduce inappropriate care
- Promote safer care
- Make care more responsive to patients and caregivers



Mechanics of the Payment Reform Models

- Payment mechanisms
 - Base payment
 - Fee schedule for defined services
 - Bonuses
 - Fees for new or revised services
 - Non-payment

- Adjustment options
 - Actuarial
 - Historical costs or resource use
 - Predicted risk of resource use
 - Performance-based incentives
 - Quality goals
 - Efficiency goals



Mechanics of the Payment Reform Models

- Payment mechanisms
 - − Base payment ←
 - Fee schedule for defined services
 - Bonuses
 - Fees for new or revised services
 - Non-payment

- Adjustment options
 - Actuarial
 - Historical costs or resource use
 - Predicted risk of resource use
 - Performance-based incentives
 - Quality goals
 - Cost goals



Mechanics of the Payment Reform Models

- Payment mechanisms
 - − Base payment ←
 - Fee schedule for defined services
 - Bonuses
 - Fees for new or revised services
 - Non-payment

- Adjustment options
 - Actuarial
 - Historical costs or resource use
 - Predicted risk of resource use
 - Performance-based incentives
 - Quality goals
 - Cost goals



Use of Performance Results in Payment Reform Models

- Establish whether a provider will receive an incentive payment
- Adjust the amount of an incentive payment
- Establish whether a provider is subject to nonpayment for some services
- Detect unintended adverse consequences of cost containment incentives
- Motivate and support quality improvement



	Emphasis of Performance Measurement					
Model	Population	Episode of care	Diverse organizational types	Newly- specified services		
Model 1. Global Payment	XX	X	XX			
Model 2. ACO Shared Savings	XX	X	XX			
Model 3. Medical Home	XX	X	X	X		



	Emphasis of Performance Measurement					
	Population	Episode of care	Diverse organizational types	Newly- specified services		
Model			Зуров	33171333		
Model 4.						
Bundled Payment	X	XX	XX	X		
Model 5.						
Hospital- Physician Gainsharing	X	X	X			
Model 6. Payment for Coordination	X	X	X	XX		



	Emphasis of Performance Measurement						
Model	Population	Episode of care	Diverse organizational types	Newly- specified services			
Model 7. Hospital P4P		X					
Model 8. Payment Adjustment for Readmissions		X	X				
Model 9. Payment Adjustment for HACs		X					



	Emphasis of Performance Measurement					
Model	Population	Episode of care	Diverse organizational types	Newly- specified services		
Model 10. Physician P4P		X				
Model 11. Payment for Shared Decision-making		X		XX		



Population and Episode Models Will Incorporate Measurement Strategies of Other Models

	Hosp-MD Gainshare	Pay for coord-ination	Hosp P4P	Re- admits	Hospital acquired cond'tns	Phys P4P	Shared decision making
Global Payment	Х	Χ	?	Χ	?	Х	?
ACO-Shared Savings	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Medical Home		Χ				Х	?



Results: Measures in Current Payment Reform Programs/Proposals

- Substantial variation in types of performance measures used in current payment reform programs
- Many available performance measures are not yet used in current payment incentive programs
- Important quality and efficiency goals are not well represented by available measures



Summary of New Measure Types Needed to Support Payment Reform Models

- Health outcomes
 - Functional status & safety outcomes
- Care coordination
 - Transitions
- Patient and caregiver engagement with care
 - Medical homes, shared decision-making
- Structure
 - ACOs, decision aids
- Efficiency
 - Hosp and MD P4P



Cost-containing Models Need Measures to Detect Unintended Adverse Consequences

- Underuse of appropriate and necessary care
- Access to care
- Safety outcomes
- Clinical and socio-demographic risk profiles



Model 1. Global Payment

Model 2. Shared Savings for Accountable Care Organizations

Model 3. Medical Homes

Care coordination	1, 2, 3
Functional status (change over time)	1, 2, 3
Quality of life (change over time)	1, 2, 3
Structure (care management and use of HIT)	1, 2, 3
Composite measures	1, 2, 3



Model 4. Bundled Payments for Episodes of Care

Clinical care process (episode-specific)

Patient and caregiver engagement

Functional status (episode-specific change)

Quality of life (change over time)

Structure (care management and use of HIT)

Composite measures



Model 5. Hospital-Physician Gainsharing

Safety outcomes

Access to care (ambulatory care sensitive conditions)



Model 6. Payment for Care Coordination

Clinical care process (transitions)

Patient and caregiver engagement

Structure (care management and use of HIT)

Composite measures



Near Term Opportunities for Measure Development Model 7. Hospital Pay-for-Performance (P4P)

Model 8. Payment Adjustment for Readmissions

Model 9. Payment Adjustment for Hospital-Acquired Conditions

Structure (use of Health IT)	7, 9
Care coordination	8
Access to care	8
Safety outcomes	9
Composite measures	7, 9
Efficiency measures	7



Near Term Opportunities for Measure Development Model 10. Physician Pay-for-Performance (P4P)

Structure (use of Health IT)

Clinical care process for specialty care

Safety practices

Safety outcomes

Composite measures

Efficiency measures



Model 11. Payment for Shared Decision-Making

Access to decision aids

Patient and caregiver engagement

Patient knowledge of treatment alternatives



Pertinent Implementation Challenges of Payment Reform Models

	Attribution	Data Sources	Sample Size	Aggrega- tion	Exclusion Criteria	Risk Ad- justment	Bench- marks
Global Payment	X	X		X	X	X	
ACO/Share Savings	Х	Х		X	X	X	X
Medical Homes	X	Х			X	X	
Bundled Payment	Х	Х	X	X	X		
Gain- sharing		Х	X				
Care Coord- ination	Х	Х					X
Hospital P4P	Х		X			Х	
Read- missions	Х		X		X	Х	
HAC's		Х	Х		Х		Х
Physician P4P	Х		X			X	
SDM	Х	Х					Х



Conclusion

- Most payment reform programs will blend measurement and payment strategies of these 11 models
- Near-term measure development should emphasize population and episode denominators
- Measures of the unintended consequences of cost-containment will be important to credibility



Conclusion

- Blended measurement and reporting strategies for complex organizational forms
 - composites, dashboards
- Measures of structure are needed for some payment reform models
 - new organizational types, new services
- The national health data infrastructure must be improved to support the next generation of performance measures

