# Advanced Issues in Commercial Compliance

Tenth Annual Pharmaceutical Regulatory and Compliance Congress and Best Practices Forum November 11, 2009









## **Using Core Transactional Data for Monitoring**

Understanding the enterprise systems and the operational transactions across the various functional areas is critical to developing a monitoring strategy.

Functional Dataset		Off-Label Monitoring Focus
• SF	FA System	<ul> <li>Analysis of HCP specialties and prescribing patterns</li> </ul>
• Ag Da	ggregate Spend atabase	<ul> <li>Profile for HCPs that are frequently used by the Company for fee-for-service activities</li> <li>Changes in prescribing can "flag" HCPs for additional analysis</li> </ul>
• Sy Pr	yndicated rescription Data	<ul> <li>Rx activity of HCPs that haven't been detailed by the Company</li> <li>Prescribing behavior in relation to sales call details</li> </ul>
• Di	agnosis Panel Data	<ul> <li>Diagnosis panel data such as NDTI and PDDA to analyze off-label usage and "at-risk" HCP specialties</li> </ul>
• Lo Da	ongitudinal Patient ata	<ul> <li>Specific analysis of patient level data with diagnosis codes can provide insight into specific prescribing and utilization patterns resulting from off-label promotions</li> </ul>
• Re Int	equests for Medical formation	<ul> <li>Analysis of an individual rep's requests for medical information</li> </ul>
• K0 Ac	OL Tracking ctivities	<ul> <li>Company interactions with KOLs that have a focus in off-label thought leadership may provide insight into potential risk areas (affiliations with other doctors)</li> </ul>
• Co Eo	ontinuing Medical ducation	<ul> <li>General trends in CME and Company sponsorship of CME may provide insights into potential off-label risk areas</li> </ul>
• In Sp	vestigator consored Trials	<ul> <li>The nature and selection of the Company's ISTs can provide potential insight into off- label risk areas</li> </ul>

# **Data Assessment and Review of Source Data Systems**

## Source Data Systems Required for Monitoring Purposes

Utilizing the data sources covered in the previous slides and coupled with promotional data, models can be created to now proactively monitor compliance as opposed to reacting to compliance challenges.



#### **Sample Data Sources**

# **Development of Monitoring Models**

115

110

105

100

### An Iterative Approach to Development and Implementation

Develop HCP Level Decision Models at the Channel Level Create Risk Curves Based on Specific Tolerance Thresholds

Projected Profit Consequences for Multiple Strategy Scenario

enario C

ofits can be

emental costs

20%

Efficien

If we accept 20%

higher costs, profit

Adding 2nd

Roll-up Channel Models Into Strategic Risk Management Model & Optimize for Alerts Providing Predictive Analytics to Address Key Monitoring Objectives



Determines risk sensitivity to channel tactics

Captures the action-reaction dynamic of activities that may influence HCP behavior Map field and home office actions to identify potential risk thresholds

Allows for the application of multiple factors on a weighted basis



Captures interaction effects of channel tactics

Addresses carryover effect from various channels

Derives strategic level activity reports



Strategic to tactical linkage enables guidance and optimization of Risk alerts

Creates optimized monitoring reports by objective

# **Dashboards Created for Compliance Monitoring**

### **Creation of Various Dashboard Views Based on Technical Requirements**

After creating models utilizing internal and external data sets, dashboards can be created to effectively monitor compliance and alert on suspicious activity.



**Transaction Detail**