The 12th Annual Pharmaceutical Regulatory and Compliance Congress

November 2-4, 2011

"Facilitating Disclosure and Prohibiting Retaliation"

Perspective of a Qui Tam Plaintiffs' Counsel

Research Findings

- Observers of corporate wrongdoing rarely report it to anyone.*
- Example: During corporate accounting frauds that led to enactment of Sarbanes-Oxley Act, knowledgeable employees rarely spoke out about wrongdoing because of "a compelling norm of silence among employees."*

^{*}Moberly, R. Sarbanes-Oxley's Structural Model to Encourage Corporate Whistleblowers. 2006, citing, e.g., Estlund, C.L., Free Speech and Due Process in the Workplace, 71 IND.L.J. 101,119-23 (1995); Miethe, T.D.& Rothschild, J., Whistleblowing and the Control of Organization Misconduct, 64 Soc. Inquiry 322, 332-27(1994) (finding low levels of whistleblowing after discovery of misconduct.)

Research Findings

 Those who do decide to blow the whistle are more inclined to report internally than externally.

Moberly, R. Sarbanes-Oxley's Structural Model to Encourage Corporate Whistleblowers. 2006, citing as examples Myron Peretz Glazer, The Whistleblowers: Exposing Corruption in Government and Industry 195 (1989); Karen L. Soeken & Donald R. Soeken, A Survey of Whistleblowers: Their Stressors and Coping Strategies 160 (1987); Elleta Sangrey Callahan et al., Integrating Trends in Whistleblowing and Corporate Governance: Promoting Organizational Effectiveness, Societal Responsibility, and Employee Empowerment, 40 Am.Bus. L.J. 177, 198 (2002); Terry Morehead Dworkin & Elleta Sangrey Callahan, Internal Whistleblowing: Protecting the Interests of the Employee, the Organization, and Society, 29 Am. Bus. L.J. 267, 300-301 (1991); Terance D. Miethe & Joyce Rothschild, Whistleblowing and the Control of Organizational Misconduct, 64 Soc. Inquiry 322, 335-37 (1994); Gregory R. Watchman, Sarbanes-Oxley Whistleblowers: A New Corporate Early Warning System, at 8: http://www.whistleblower.org/doc/GAP%20Analysis%20Sarbanes%2DOxley%2Epdf (last visited Nov. 9, 2006).

Research Findings

 Nearly every observer of perceived wrongdoing who reports it to someone outside the organization has reported it first to at least one party within the organization.

Regh, M.T., Near, J.P., Miceli, M.P. & Van Sotter, J.R. (2004). *Predictor of retaliation against whistleblowers: Outcomes of power relationships within organizations*. Best Paper Proceedings of the 64th Annual Meeting of the Academy of Management, New Orleans. E1-E6; Miceli, M.P., & Near, J.P. (1992). *Blowing the whistle: The organizational and legal implications for companies and employees*. New York: Lexington.

Trend in Pharmaceutical Qui Tam Matters Handled by Vogel, Slade & Goldstein

At Least Two of These Three Factors Usually Are Present:

- Wrongful Practice is Part of Corporate Business
 Plan
- Relator did not report to Compliance Office
- Relator Humiliated as a Result of Wrongful
 Practice or Reporting Thereof

Factor 1:

Wrongful Practice is Part of Corporate Business Plan

- Kickbacks
- Off-Label Promotion
- Nationwide BillingPolicy



Factor 1:

Wrongful Practice is Part of Corporate Business Plan



Immediate supervisor has no discretion to change plan, and/or corporate sales forecasts and budget dependent on continuation of illegal practice

Factor 2:

Relator did not report to Compliance Office

- Compliance office off radar screen
- Lack of familiarity →
 lack of trust
- Concerns voiced to supervisor





Factor 3:

Relator Humiliated

- In response to raising concerns with supervisor,
- By failure to compete successfully in corrupt environment, and/or
- By public excoriation for following illegal training or instruction

Factor 3:

Relator Humiliated

Nature of humiliation: dressed down in group; poor performance evaluation; citation for ethical violation; placed on PIP; and/or merit increase or bonus cut or denied.