

# **Progress in FDA's Drug Product Quality Initiative**

**Janet Woodcock, M.D.  
November 13, 2003**

# **Impetus for Initiative:**

---

- Modernization and continuous improvement in pharmaceutical manufacturing sector slow compared to other sectors
- Process efficiency low: Drives up costs
- Regulatory paradigm (cGMPs) not significantly changed over 25 years
- Regulators recognize need for risk-based approaches

# Structure of Initiative

---

- All pharmaceutical products: drugs, biologics, veterinary drugs
- Cross Center: ORA, CDER, CBER, CVM
- Inspection and Review: All aspects of quality regulations

## **Common Goal of Stakeholders:**

---

Reliable availability of high quality,  
efficiently produced drugs

# Objectives of Initiative

---

- Encourage adoption by the pharmaceutical industry of new technological advances in manufacturing
- Facilitate industry application of modern quality management techniques to all aspects of pharmaceutical production & quality assurance
- Encourage implementation of risk-based approaches that focus both on industry and Agency attention on critical areas

## **Objectives:**

---

- Insure that regulatory review and inspection policies are based on state-of-the-art pharmaceutical science
- Implement quality management in review and inspection processes

# Plan for Initiative

---

- Two year project
- Constitute 16 working groups
- Implement immediate (6 month) and 1 year actions
- Final actions at 2 year mark

## **Six Month Time point: February 20, 20003**

---

- Plans for pharmaceutical inspectorate
- Center review of warning letters
- Modifications to form 483
- Draft guidance: Part 11



## **Six Month Time point:**

---

- Draft guidance on comparability protocols
- Announcement of plan for dispute resolution process
- Progress on PAT initiative

---

**Second Progress Report:  
September 3, 2003**

## First Year Accomplishments

---

- ◆ Issued draft guidances on comparability protocols for small molecules and proteins
- ◆ Workshop (with PQRI) April 22, 2003
- ◆ Issued final guidance on *Part 11, Electronic Records, Electronic Signatures—Scope and Application*- clarifies the scope and application of the Part 11 regulation and provides for enforcement discretion in certain areas

# **First Year Accomplishments**

## ***(continued)***

---

- ◆ Implementation of a technical dispute resolution process for CGMP disputes- draft guidance issued and initiation of a 12-month domestic pilot program in early 2004
- ◆ FDA actively seeking to improve international standards for drugs through its efforts at supporting global harmonization, and collaboration with its public health counterparts in other nations

# **First Year Accomplishments**

## ***continued***

---

- ◆ Issued draft guidance on *PAT—A Framework for Innovative Pharmaceutical Manufacturing and Quality Assurance*- intended to encourage pharmaceutical manufacturing and QA technologies
- ◆ Issued draft guidance on *Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing*- emphasizes current science and risk-based approaches, once final, this will replace the 1987 Guideline

# **First Year Accomplishments**

## ***continued***

---

- ◆ **Changes to FDA's inspection program:**

- Establishment of a Pharmaceutical Inspectorate- highly trained individuals within ORA who will devote most of their time to conducting human drug manufacturing quality inspections on prescription drug manufacturers and other complex or high risk inspections.

- The Preapproval Inspection Compliance Program has been revised to give the field more opportunity to utilize a risk-based approach by allowing greater flexibility in determining whether a preapproval inspection is warranted.

# **First Year Accomplishments**

## ***continued***

---

- ◆ FDA entered into several collaborations with industry, academia, and another government organization- will aid in enhancing FDA's scientific and technical capabilities, as well as help both FDA and industry to better focus activities and resources related to pharmaceutical product quality.

# **Next Steps: Topics for further consideration**

---

- ◆ Develop definition of “quality” for a pharmaceutical
  - ◆ “Customer’s” point of view?
  - ◆ Fitness for use?
  - ◆ Availability?
- ◆ Definition of “risks” to quality
  - ◆ Draws on underlying science
  - ◆ Requires a model for risk



## **Next Steps: Quality Systems**

---

- ◆ Internal: FDA Drug Quality Regulatory Program as a Quality System
  - ◆ Does the program operate in a coordinated fashion, as a system?
  - ◆ To what extent can the principles of quality management be applied to the operations of the program?

# **Next Steps: Quality Systems**

---

## ◆ External:

- ◆ To what extent do the existing regulations and guidances reflect current thinking on quality management practices?
- ◆ To what extent do current standards (CMC and cGMP) reflect current thinking on quality management?

# Next Steps: Quality Systems

---

- ◆ External:
  - ◆ To what extent do these standards promote/facilitate state-of-the-art quality management practices in industry?
  - ◆ To what extent, if any, do these standards impede industry?

# **Next Steps: Sources of Variability**

---

- ◆ What does “design controls” mean for pharmaceuticals?
- ◆ What is the role of “process validation?”
- ◆ Need scientific evaluation of our conceptual understanding of the sources of variability during manufacturing

# **Next Steps: Role of Review Process**

---

- ◆ What is the objective of the CMC review?
- ◆ To what extent does the process accomplish the objectives?

# Active Areas

---

- International Harmonization
- Implementation of Internal Quality System
- Procedures for rapid public dissemination of agency decisions/guidance
- Part 11

## **Active Areas: cGMPs**

---

- Clarification of terms: e.g., “process validation”
- Plans for additional guidances

## **Active Areas:**

---

- CMC Review: Evaluation of risk-based approaches
- Definition of quality for a pharmaceutical product; definition of risk
- PAT: Reviewing submissions
- PI: Establishing training



## **Early Results**

---

- Part 11 Guidance: Saving millions of dollars on IT Systems
- PAT: Number of submissions for new technology
- Harmonized aseptic guidance will provide savings

# Summary

---

- Initiative should be “win-win” for public, industry, regulators
- Contingent on work continuing at a rapid pace over next year
- FDA has an ambitious plan for completion of project