



Contents

- Query Efficacy
- Addressing and Overcoming Query Obstacles
 - Understanding and Anticipating Physician Behavior
 - Physician Familiarity with Querying
 - Coding Logic vs. Clinical Logic
 - Constraints of Query Compliance
 - Query Terminology, Phrasing, Format
 - Physician Perspective / State-of-mind
 - Query Intent vs. Query Perception
 - Balancing Query Individualization with Consistency
- Examples of Queries that Work . . . And Some that Don't
- Query Strategies
 - Defensive/RAC; Educational; Verbal; Introductory; Targeted;
 Supportive; Compliance-focused



Query Efficacy



What is an Effective Query?

No official definitions, but observation shows that... Effective queries:

- ...are objective, compliant, individualized questions
- ...are written using physician-friendly terminology and format
- ...are focused on the clinical aspect of the coding question
- ...proactively address communication barriers
- ...anticipate common misunderstandings



What is an Effective Query?

Effective queries (continued):

- ...ensure the query's *intent* is not lost in the expected clinical *interpretation*
- ... are one part of an effective, compliant query process
- ...are likely to result in appropriate, physician-documented clarification
- ...are specific enough to generate ICD-9/ ICD-10 codable responses
- ...foster communication and relevant education



Query Compliance vs. Query Effectiveness

• Compliant queries follow the rules

• Effective queries get results

Good queries accomplish both goals



The Effective Query

Summarized:

•A case-specific question posed to the physician in an unbiased, clinical manner that conveys a documentation concern with sufficient specificity to yield an appropriate, codable response.





Addressing and Overcoming Query Obstacles



Obstacle: Anticipating Physician Behavior

- Male-Pattern Thinking
 - Hierarchical
 - * Avoid "You" statements
- Physician Defensiveness
 - "I didn't do it"
 - * Divert blame; "Per...." "According to..."
- Confusion between DRG and E&M Rules
 - To R/O...or Not to R/O
 - * Use "suspected" or "likely" in the query
 - * Avoid requiring certainty ("the definitive dx")



Obstacle: Physicians Unfamiliar with Querying

• Clues

- No responses
- Arguments about basics (ex: urosepsis)
- Inappropriate responses (ex: defensive paragraphs)
- Difficulty with obvious questions (ex: connecting dx with culture results)
- New query programs
 - Important to inform and involve physicians
- Possible first-time queries
 - Establish if familiar with the querying program



Obstacle: Coding Logic vs. Clinical Logic

- Examples of differences in definitions or logic
 - PDx
 - Severity
 - Certain diagnoses
 - Bacteremia
- One educational point made to the physician can save hundreds of frustrating queries



Obstacle: Constraints of Query Compliance

- Evolving compliance guidelines
- Frustrated physicians
 - "Just tell me what you need me to write"
- Specificity without leading



Obstacle: Query Terminology, Phrasing, Formatting

- How do you convey guidelines without stating them?
- Legibility, quality of written query
- Terminology coding vs. clinical
 - Ex: "Evidence" vs. "Support"



Obstacle: Confusing with E&M Rules

Avoid physicians confusing E&M and DRG rules:

- Permit uncertainty
- Avoid:
 - "...definitive diagnosis..."
 - "...determined the cause?"
 - "...when you know..."
- Try:
 - "...believed to be..."
 - "...thought to have..."
 - "...likely the..."
 - "...the probable..."



Obstacle: Physician Perspective / State-of-mind

- Clinical validity and logic
 - Trust
 - Use co-workers and Dr. Google
- Physician frustration factors; examples:
 - Vague queries
 - Unclear questions
 - Implication of fault
 - Condescending tone
 - Borderline results
 - Premature querying



Obstacle: Physician Perspective / State-of-mind

(Continued)

- <u>Preconceptions</u> of querying
 - "CC Shopper"
 - <u>Time</u> required
 - Only relates to <u>reimbursement</u>
 - Semantic game
 - Fraudulent
- Impression of <u>query approach</u>
 - <u>Time</u> expenditure
 - Time-of-day
 - Question vs. asking for help



Obstacle: Query Intent vs. Query Perception



Physician hears





Examples: Queries that Work... and Queries that Don't



Example

Query:

Creatinine increased to 3.5. Please document any associated diagnosis (ex: <u>ARF</u> or other)...

- Non-compliant: Leading
- Physician perspective: Irritating

Alternative:

Pt admitted for asthma exacerbation. Creatinine increased 0.5 to 3.5 since admit. Per nursing, "physician hydrating for renal concerns." Please clarify if you suspect a possible renal diagnosis.

Exs: Acute renal failure CKD (+stage)

Acute kidney injury Renal insufficiency

Acute glomerulonephritis Unable to further specify

Other: ___(Please specify in notes)___



Example

Query

Physician documentation reminder: Pt diagnosed with "urosepsis." If your pt. has sepsis from UTI, for coding purposes, you must document it as "sepsis from UTI" – at least once

- •Leading
- Directive
- Obnoxious

Alternative

- •Intervention!
 - Although there are ways this query can be worded, the lack of understanding of this guideline suggests that an educational conversation is warranted



Example

Query:

Pt on TPN and with <u>clinical evidence of malnutrition</u> (Prealbumin 19). If you agree, please document specific type (mild, mod, severe, prot-cal, mirasmus, etc).

• Leading Annoying ("Who says?")

Alternative

Pt admitted for pneumonia. Per nursing, also "underweight; TPN ordered for malnutrition." Prealbumin 19. If you agree, please document the BMI and the specific diagnosis, exs:

Protein malnutrition (mild, mod, severe)

Protein-calorie malnutrition (mild, mod, severe)

Protein-energy malnutrition (mild, mod, severe)

Kwashiorkor Unable to further specify

Mirasmus Other (please specify in progress notes)



Example

Query:

Patient with documented CHF. Please specify type and acuity in your progress note.

Blanket query

Alternative

Patient with documented CHF. Per H&P, "SOB, Bilateral infiltrates on CXR." ECHO yesterday w/25% EF. If possible, please specify type and acuity of CHF in your progress note.



Example

Query:

Bacteremia, Septicemia, Sepsis, Septic Shock etc

Discuss



Query Strategies



Query Strategies

- Defensive (RAC)
 - RAC-specific rules (excisional debridement)
 - Anticipatory queries (anticipating future RAC-type issues)
- Educational queries
- Written vs. Verbal
- Concurrent vs. Retrospective
- Severity queries
- The introductory query (the physician's first query)



Query Strategies

- Targeted querying
 - by issue
 - by physician
 - by department
- Supportive querying
 - Ex: support for an empiric diagnosis
- Compliance querying
 - Usually querying to avoid unintentional coding of an inaccurate diagnosis
 - Ex: a negative sepsis work-up never stated as "ruled-out"



Query Policies and Support

- Administrative support
- Clinical leader support
 - Physician champions
- Written query policies
- Required responses
 - If no response by (#?) of days, then....
- Policy regarding queries after RAC requests
- Differentiating from UR queries
 - UR query: ?admission vs. observation
 - UR is able to help guide the physicians to the appropriate determination
- Query Retention



Questions?

Please contact me with additional questions or comments.

Thank you

Andrew Rothschild, MD, MS, MPH, FAAP, CCDS Director – FTI Healthcare

Andrew.Rothschild@FTIhealthcare.com

484-226-9122