

Innovations and Technologies for Managing Readmissions:

The Promise of Improved Quality and Functionality

Barbara Harvath Senior Advisor Health Technology Center

June 1, 2009

The Buzz around 30-Day

AHRQ/ HCUP report suggests that in 2006, hospitals spent \$30.8 billion on 4.4 million hospital admissions that might have been avoidable. The report used its prevention quality indicators to decide when a hospital stay might have been preventable with good enough outpatient care. Medicare patients accounted for \$20.1 billion of the full amount spent on possibly preventable admissions, while privately-insured patients were responsible for \$4.7 billion of the \$30.8 billion total. The report concluded that congestive heart failure and bacterial pneumonia were the two most common reasons for inpatient stays, mounting up \$15.6 billion in costs.

 In 2006, hospital costs for potentially preventable conditions totaled nearly \$30.8 billion—one of every 10 dollars of total hospital expenditures. As many as 4.4 million hospital stays could possibly have been prevented with better ambulatory care, improved access to effective treatment, or patient adoption of healthy behaviors.

•Congestive heart failure and bacterial pneumonia were the two most common reasons for potentially preventable hospitalizations, accounting for half of the total hospital costs (\$8.4 billion and \$7.2 billion, respectively) for all preventable hospitalizations.

•One in five (18 percent) Medicare admissions was for a potentially preventable condition. In fact, Medicare patients contributed to \$20.1 billion (67 percent) of total hospital costs for potentially preventable hospitalizations among adults.

•Hospitalization rates for potentially preventable conditions were highest among residents in poorer communities but lowest among residents from wealthier communities. This disparity was particularly evident for diabetes without complications, where the admission rate in the poorest communities was more than 400 percent higher than the rate in the wealthiest communities.

The 30-Day Activity

Boost	ARHQ	CMS	NC3
Better Outcomes for Older adults through Safe Transitions	HCUP – 2009 Report	Proposed Rules 4/08	The Promise of Care Coordination Report 2009
Select Sites to pilot and mentor for 1 year	Report using 2006 CMS claims data \$4.4 mil preventable 2 DRGs = 50%	14 regions selected using care coordination to reduce Readmissions Project through 2011	<u>Transitional Care</u> Eric Coleman& Mary Naylor (Chad Boult)
Education and Tool kit	RED Toolkit and Education	Test Runs of Reporting measures occurred in 2008 and 2009	<u>Self-Management</u> Kate Lorig& Wheeler <u>Coordinated Care</u> CMS demos 2002
Ivalentino@hospitalmedicine. org (267-702-2672). http://www.hospitalmedicin e.org/ResourceRoomRede sign/RR_CareTransitions/h tml_CC/project_boost_bac kground.cfm	<u>http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb72.jsp</u>	Federal Register Vol. 73 No. 84 04/30/08 http://www.cfmc.org/caretr ansitions/	http://www.socialworklead ership.org/nsw/Brown_Full Report.pdf



Issues surrounding readmissions



Understanding readmissions starts before the first admission

19% of 30-day readmissions are from admissions that didn't need to happen in the first place." AHRQ

Severity and complexity of underlying chronic problems contribute significantly to preventable readmissions.

At home deaths from medication mistakes saw a 7 fold increase between 1984 and 2004

Known deficits that impair a patient's ability to follow through on a discharge plan

- Economics
- Transportation
- Mental (ie. depression)
- Cognitive (ie. memory)
- Physical (ie. seeing, hearing)
- Language (non-English speaking, illiterate)
- Social supports

Top 3 issues for patient medication compliance failure

Most DC Planners would target this issue as being top (It's the patient's lack of a stable network)

A recent study looking at 150,000 patients with diabetes on medications found that 50% of patients had medication issues but of those:

- 20% were patient issues (Economics and transportation issues, and depression accounting for the most)
- 80% were provider issues (failure to intensify treatment to optimal range being the largest issue)

This changes how we need to start looking at compliance failure and what solutions we might implement

Example Page

The tool includes an Example page that allows a user to see a properly filled out Evaluation page. The inputs will vary by organization, but the example page shows correct input logic.

Healthin Needs input f THIS IS AN E NO INPUT A	ecn from drop-dov EXAMPLE OF ND IS FOR IN	A C STRU	(Unless ORRE(ICTIV	s requir CTLY E PUF	ed to le FILL RPOS	ave bla ED II ES O	niise ank) I EVA NLY.	LUA	тюн	PAG	Stan	itts wi	JEV	ARY W	VIDEL	Y BY I	HOSP	ITAL).	-) This e)	(AMPL	E PA(GE REQUIRES		Yes & No filled out for all metrics
Category		_		Mari tal Stat	Bac	Pati	ent P:	iychoo Zin	traphi Econ	cs Tran y-	Physi cel Dafici	Cuqui tive Defic	- Ment al Dafic	Adm.	Admi t Saar	tre Adm is		1st Ho	spitaliza * ca- marbid canditia	tion	Deto af	DC LOS Direarit 1st		air metrics
ltem Currently Collect If Yes,	Yes/No Manual/Elec/B	Aqe Yes Manu	Sex Yes Electr	Yes Electr	Yes Electr	Yes Elect	No	Cuda No	No	Yes Manu	No.	ie? Yes Manual	it? Yes Both	No	No	Dete Yes Manu	Unit No	No	Yes Both	No	D/C No	No Yes Both		
Source If No, Low difficult?	oth 1 = least, 3 = most	<u>ə</u> l	ONIC	onic	onic	ronic	2	1	2	31	2			2	1	əl	3	2		1	1	2	ע ר	Manual/Elec/ Both filled out
Category Item		F/U aptr Data	Typen of Soy- port occda	ADL sup-	t ∎f Had a DC	A4L *** 8C* F/U	Home Stab il- ity/ Fallr 2	tinn af PCP	ade # of Pho ne Call	¥ of Hom ¢ Tirit	* of Office visits	* =f ED =iritr	Ada- quata Trans- purta- tian	2nd - Adm - it Type	2nd Admi t Saur	Data of 2nd Adm it	Long th tu 2nd	Read mit DX	Ca- Marbid Canditia	Ra- admi t Failu	Data af 2ad D/C	Interven t. & LOS number 2nd		for "Yes" metrics only
Currently Collect If Yes, Source If No, how	Yes/No Manual/Elec/B oth 1 = least,	Yes Electr onic	No	Yes Both	Yes Both	Yes Both	No	Yes Manu al	No	Yes Both	No	Yes Manual	No	Yes Electr onic	Yes Electr onic	No	No	No	No	Yes Both	Yes Electr onic	No Yes Electr onic		
difficult? Category	3 = most	30-	day Rea	dmissia ALO	ns (RA) Year	y Lost	\$ (per E)RG)		2					3				1		2		Degree of
Item		≇ ∎f RA	z RA caro s Modi caro	S RA (per DRG	par day par RA (par DRG	rqa r par day (pa	burr omo atr por day faor	Avq marq in par	Madi cara pana Itiar par RA															difficulty filled out for "No"
Currently Collect If Yes, Source If No, how	Yes/No Manual/Elec/B oth 1 = least,	Yes Electr	No onic 2	No 3	Yes Manus	Yes Electi	No onic 1	Yes Manus	Yes Electro	onic														metrics only
difficult?	j=most				1.					і х——														

A Wealth of Technologies



Which technologies will have the biggest impact on 30 day readmissions? How do high-impact technologies get disseminated quickly, efficiently and effectively?

Connecting Technology & Innovation to Healthcare Challenges

Our approach is based on a specific view of innovation and technology



Innovations are strategic, technology is tactical

Which innovations and technologies will be truly disruptive?

How can these be leveraged to accomplish our strategy and mission?

How will they affect care processes, quality and sustainability?

Connecting the Dots?



Healthcare Challenges &	Critical Success Factors &		
Business Problems	Metrics	Innovations	Technologies
Home care visits labor intensive (rural visits- travel, time, weather, cost)	Number of in person visits needed for appropriate care per episode	Use of telecommunications and remote monitoring to substitute for in-person home visits	"Two-way video "Remote sensor devices "Remote disease monitoring
Unable to identify populations at greatest risk for readmit	Decrease in readmissions rates	Automation of risk profiling and readmission analysis	*Computer algorithms *Data integration/mining software *Predictive Modeling
Lack of shared care plan and structures to advance self management "Clarity of Provider goals	"Patient compliance with care plan "Decreased readmissions "Fewer calls to management team	Customized discharge care plan protocols for complex home care management	"PHR "Provider and patient teleconferencing "Shared care plan

The Transitions of Care Matrix

The Transitions of Care Matrix map connects challenges to metrics to innovations to technologies

HealthTech	Transitions of Care Matrix										
Healthcare Challenges & Business Problems	Critical Success Factors & Metrics	Innovations	Technologies	Expected Impact							
(Sample) Home care visits labor intensive (rural visits-travel, time, weather, cost)	Number of in person visits needed for appropriate care per episode	Use of telecommunications and remote monitoring to substitute for in-person home visits	Two-way video Remote sensor devices Remote disease monitoring	Decrease number of inperson visits and add virtual visits with similar or better outcomes for episode of care							
Unable to identify populations at greatest risk for readmit	Decrease in readmissions rates	Automation of risk profiling and readmission analysis	*Computer algorithms *Data integration/mining software *Predictive Modeling	Risk stratification for specificity and sensitivity for populations at greatest risk for readmission							
Lack of shared care plan and structures to advance self management "Clarity of Provider goals "Clarity of Patient goals "Progression to shared decision making "Monitoring	*Patient compliance with care plan *Decreased readmissions *Fewer calls to management team	Customized discharge care plan protocols for complex home care management	"PHR "Provider and patient teleconferencing "Shared care plan	Patients and caregivers know optimal care plan after discharge and capable of effective follow through							
Lack of Pt psychological, cognitive and social needs integrated into discharge plan and assessment "accountability "robust assessment "inclusive DC/Transition plan	"Reduction in non-adherence to care plans "Higher level of patient compliance "Decrease in home medical errors	Simple, easy to use, accessible evaluation tools for pt psychosocial needs	"Patient assessment tools "Integrative case plan "Deficit reducing technologies (i.e. medication reminders, appointment pick ups etc.)	More comprehensive care plans and higher patient compliance							
Lack of timely medication reconciliation	"Fewer ADEs "Fewer admissions due to ADEs "Better medication adherence	Timely team coordination and documentation	*PHR with medication administration software (ie KPHC) *Telemedicine conferencing esp. pharma	Improved disease management due to medication adherence. Better coordination of team care							

Our Methodology:

- Brainstorm business challenges.
- Identify related metrics
- Catalogue potential **innovations** that can address the challenges
- Identify specific technologies that can support the innovations
- Define the expected impact of successful implementation

Assessing Readmissions and a technology solution example: Mercy Laredo

Business Challenges, Opportunities	Critical Success Factors	Innovation	Technology
Challenge	Success Factor	Innovation	Technology
Lack of shared care plan and structures to advance self management: Clarity of Provider & Patient goals	 Patient medication compliance Physician participation in goal setting Patient satisfaction Patient connecting to caregivers 	Use of telecommunications and remote monitoring to create shared care plan and monitor individual	Remote disease monitoring
Help highest utilizers with no insurance coverage and little or no continuity of care to better manage self-care, prevent hospitalizations and ED visits	 Patient satisfaction Patients' perceived connection to care team Ability for patient to manage meds SF-12 scores 34% ED visits 32% Inpatient admissions 49% Outpatient visits \$747 per patient/ year 	Use telecommunications and remote disease monitoring to manage high resource intensive diabetic patients for better self care	Used Health Buddy home monitoring tool and HealthHero case management software

Home Care use of Telemed to reduce readmissions:

- Centura Health at Home



Integrated System use of Telemedicine to reduce readmissions



HealthBuddy by HealthHero Remote Chronic Disease Management System



Translating Expert Research and Partner Networks Into Results

Barbara Harvath Senior Advisor 415.537.6969 phone 415.537.6949 fax bharvath@healthtech.org

Health Technology Center 524 Second Street, 2nd floor San Francisco, CA 94107