NCI/NAPBC Model Informed Consent for Future Research Use of Tissue

Marianna Bledsoe
Resources Development Branch,
Cancer Diagnosis Program,
National Cancer Institute

Overview

- NCI/NAPBC Model Consent Project
 - History
 - Guiding Principles
 - Development/Testing of the Form
- Use of the Form
- Patient Attitudes About Specimen Use
- Summary: Key Points



- National Action Plan for Breast Cancer (NAPBC) (1993)
 - Ensuring the availability of specimens for research identified as high priority
- NCI conference to assess specimen needs (1994)
 - Informed consent for use of specimens should be obtained at the time the tissue is collected

History of the Model Consent Project

- Ethical Issues subcommittee of the Biological Resources Working Group (NAPBC)
 - established to develop a model consent form for use in the routine care setting
 - Included patient advocates, ethicists, lawyers, pathologists, clinicians, and laboratory researchers

Guiding Principles

- Human specimens are critical to research
- Medical care of an individual must not be compromised
- Subject information must remain private
- Informed consent for research use of tissue must be explicit



- Model consent developed
 - Met requirements of Common Rule
- Model consent tested in 27 focus groups
 - Different socio-economic levels, racial and ethnic groups, genders, and professional and patient groups
- Consent form simplified
 - Translated to low literacy level



- Patient information sheet prepared
- Consent and information sheet presented to:
 - PRIM&R
 - Cooperative Groups
 - American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer
- Field test planned

Testing of the Form: Main Questions

Is the form and the process acceptable to patients?

Is the form and the process acceptable to providers and/or those who administer the form?

Testing of the Form

Requirements:

- Separate consent for research use of tissue
- Independent document or separate section of surgical consent form
- Seven essential elements required



- Tissue used first and foremost for patient diagnosis and care
- Patient asked to allow left-over tissue to be stored for future research
- Decision regarding use of tissue will not affect patient care
- Research will not directly benefit patient and research results will not be provided



- Medical records may be reviewed for research purposes but all patient information will be kept confidential
- Tissue may be used for unspecified research which may include genetic research
- Patient has the right to change his/her mind at any time

Testing of the Form

- "Tiered" Approach asks consent for:
 - use of specimens for cancer research
 - use of specimens for other diseases
 - re-contact to participate in other research
- Study Design
 - 10 institutions; 100 patients/institution
 - Patient information sheet + consent form given at any time
 - Physicians and participant questionnaire

Testing of the Form: Observations

- High consent rate
- Institutions that incorporated the consent into the general surgical consent were more successful
- Information sheet was helpful to both providers and patients
- Consent form and information sheet clear and understandable to patients
- Patients demonstrated accurate recall of their consent



STAR Trial:

- 14431 enrolled
- Consent rate
 - Consent for use of specimens for cancer research 13,812 (95.7%)
 - Consent for use of specimens for other diseases
 - 13,763 (95.4%)
 - Consent for re-contact to participate in other research 13,816 (95.7%)



ECOG

- 2154 patients ('98 '00)
- Consent rate
 - Consent for use of specimens for cancer research 93.7%
 - Consent for use of specimens for other diseases 86.9%
 - Consent for re-contact to participate in other research 84.3%

Malone et. al, JNCI, 94(10), 2002



- NBAC sponsored "mini-hearings"
 - Many felt a general, one-time consent ("blanket consent") for research was enough
- Wendler and Emanuel, Archives of Internal Medicine, Vol. 162, No. 13, July 8, 2002
 - Once consent for research purposes has been given, most respondents viewed additional consent for other research as unnecessary



- Stegmayr and Asplund:
 - Specimens collected in 1990, initial consent for "future research on cardiovascular disorders and diabetes"
 - Patients re-contacted in 2001 for consent to participate in hereditary genetic research on cardiovascular diseases
 - 93% Yes
 - 2% No
 - 5% No response/Incomplete response
 British Medical Journal, Vol 325, Sept. 21, 2002, p.634



- Stegmayr and Asplund (Cont.)
 - Of those that consented:
 - 78% gave general consent for research on hereditary genetic research of cardiovascular diseases
 - 22% wanted consent for each new genetic project

British Medical Journal, Vol 325, Sept. 21, 2002, p.634



- Model only
- Information sheet provided to patients before consent
- IRB reviews:
 - initial protocol for specimen collection and repository operation, <u>and</u>
 - each subsequent research project for which specimens will be used to determine when new consent is needed

Use of the Model Consent: Key Points

Advantages:

- Allows precious resources that would otherwise be discarded to be available for future research use
- Tiered consent minimizes the psychosocial risk of re-contact for new consent
- Simple, understandable
- Acceptability: Patients and advocacy groups, surgeons and physicians, National Bioethics Advisory Commission, NCI Clinical Cooperative Groups