National Audioconference Hospital and Health System Governance Strategies for Meeting Community Benefit Responsibilities May 7, 2008

The Legal Context of Nonprofit Hospitals' Community Benefit Obligation

Bernadette M. Broccolo McDermott Will & Emery Chicago, Illinois bbroccolo@mwe.com 312-984-6911

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

© 2006 McDermott Will & Emery LLP. McDermott operates its practice through separate legal entities in each of the countries where it has offices.

Topics To Cover

Focus on 501(c)(3) Tax Exempt Health Care Organizations

- o Community Benefit Standard Federal
 - Criteria
 - Evolution
- Is the Standard Changing: Charity Care v. Community Benefit
- Not Covering Collateral Issues Affecting Compliance with Federal Community Benefit Standard
 - Not-For-Profit Governance Independence and Conflicts of Interest
 - Executive Compensation

- Revenue Ruling 56-185
 - » NFP organization operating hospital for care of sick
 - > Operated for those unable to pay (within hospital's financial ability)
 - Not operated exclusively for those able and expected to pay
 - > Use of facilities not restricted to particular group of physicians
 - No private inurement

- Revenue Ruling 69-545
 - Promoting the health of a class of persons broad enough to benefit the community as a whole, even though not benefiting all persons directly, is sufficient to support charitable status
 - > Criteria:
 - ER Open to all without regard to ability to pay
 - Governed by a Community Board
 - Open Medical Staff
 - Medicare and Medicaid non-discrimination
 - Surplus Used for Additional Services or Facilities

Ancillary Joint Ventures

- General Counsel Memorandum
 - GCM 39862 "True Community Benefit" v. Financial Benefit to Hospital
 - Indicia Improved Cost, Quality and Access
 - Creation of new provider
 - Expansion of services
 - Provision of new services
 - Improved treatment modalities
 - Reduction in cost

- Context: 501(c)(3) Determination Letters re: Integrated Delivery Systems
- Increased emphasis on:
 - Community board and conflicts of interest policy
 - Direct provision of health care services
 - Charity Care
 - Medical education and research activities

IRS 2001 Field Service Advice

- Issued February 2001 (FSA 200110030)
- Internal Advice from IRS Assistant Chief Counsel to IRS Field Attorneys
- Factual Criteria Revenue Agents Should Consider in Examining 501(c)(3) Status under 501(c)(3) Community Benefit Standard
 - Stated policy on care for those unable to pay
 - Actual practices result in delivery of significant health care services to the indigent
 - Documentation of ACTUAL practices

IRS 2001 Field Service Advice

- 14 Questions to Consider
 - Specific written policy on free/discounted care to the indigent?
 - Deviations from the written policy?
 - Broadcasting the policy to the public?
 - > ER open to all regardless of ability to pay?
 - Directions to ambulance services about bringing indigent to ER?
 - What non-emergency care is provided to indigent for free/discount?
 - > Denial of care to poor or indigent?

IRS 2001 Field Service Advice

- 14 Questions to Consider (continued)
 - Expectations of full payment from all persons?
 - Means of ascertaining ability to pay?
 - Documents/agreements needed to qualify for free/discounted care?
 - > Details of Policy on admitting indigent?
 - Referral of indigent to other area hospitals?
 - Separate detailed records on the number of times/circumstances free/discounted care is provided?
 - Separate account segregating costs of free/discounted care? Does it include write-offs for other than indigents?



IRS FY 2002 CPE Text

• Confirms ER is not required, especially for non-hospital providers

- Revenue Ruling 83-157
- Examples
 - Mobile medical unit
 - Free dental clinic
- Charity Care not discussed as an element of the Community Benefit Standard

• Charity Care as an Indicia of Community Benefit

- Adoption of Charity Care policy and communication of it to employees and public
- Charity care policy applicable to all persons regardless of race, socioeconomic status
- Income and asset guidelines tied to the federal poverty level
- Actually providing meaningful Charity Care

• Charity Care as One Indicia of Promotion of Health/Community Benefit

- Redlands-Loss of Exemption
 - No Charity Care
 - Less than 1% of total procedures were reimbursed by Medicaid
 - No communication to public of availability of Charity Care
 - No waiver of fees in excess of Medicare reimbursed amount
- St. David's-Exemption Holds
 - Over \$90 million in uncompensated care for 1997 -1998
 - IRS alleged Charity Care was insufficient and relied on 56-185
 - Court rejected IRS arguments
 - Finds hospital conduct consistent with charitable purpose
 - Percentage of revenue fee for proprietary joint/venture partner and manager provides disincentive to serve the indigent
 - Uncompensated care can be true Charity Care even if the hospital attempted to collect payment first

IHC Health Plans v. Commissioner

- 10th Circuit Court of Appeals 2003
- Factors considered the "Plus Test"
 - > Makes services available to ALL in the community, AND
 - Provides additional community/public benefit sufficient to support strong inference that public benefit is primary purpose

IRS FY 2004 CPE Text

- Healthcare Provider Reference Guide
 - http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/etopicc04.pdf
- Review of Community Benefit standard generally consistent with 69-545
- But, picks up on Expanded Community Benefit Standard for application to hospitals
 - Does hospital serve a broad cross section of the community through research or Charity Care (as defined in Rev. Rul. 56-185)?"
- Follows 2001 FSA as to factors Field Service Agents should consider
- Standard for Charity Care Policy
 - Free or reduced-cost care, often using a sliding scale based on ability to pay
 - NOT bad debt



- Charity Care is one basis for claiming Community Benefit standard is met
- Others mentioned:
 - Medical training and research
 - Free health education and seminars
 - Community health fairs

McDermott Will&Emery Monitoring and Reporting of Community Benefit

- IRS Conflict of Interest Policy
 - Calls for periodic review of activities for consistency with charitable purposes
- o IRS Audit Guidelines
 - Tells IRS agents to review hospital newsletters, press releases and calendars of events
- Form 990
 - Statement of program accomplishments related to charitable purposes
 - Number of patients served
 - Days of care
 - Immeasurable achievements
 - Explanation of how income-producing activities contribute to charitable purpose

McDermott Will&Emery Summary: Federal Community Benefit Standard Legal Precedents

- A Hospital must demonstrate appropriate facts with regard to serving its community
 - Operates full-time ER providing treatment regardless of ability to pay
 - Provides non-emergency services to people who are able to pay including Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries
 - > Open medical staff
 - Board of directors of independent civic leaders from the community
 - Uses operational surplus to further exempt purposes improving quality of care, medical training, research

McDermott Will&Emery Federal Community Benefit Standard Summary Legal Precedents

- Additional factors (not exhaustive)
 - Creating new healthcare provider
 - Expanding community health resources
 - > Improving treatment modalities
 - Reducing healthcare costs
 - Improving patient convenience, access to physicians
 - NOTE: No Charity Care requirement except for ER services

McDermott Will&Emery Summary: Federal Community Benefit Standard Advice to Field Service Agents

- <u>Actually</u> provides Charity Care specific written plan, policy in place
 - deviations from policy follow explainable circumstances
 - > directions provided to ambulance services document that ER is open to indigent patients
 - > documents/agreements to be signed by indigent patients before receiving care are not overly burdensome
 - No obstacles to the provision of necessary care



Is the Standard Changing? Charity Care vs. Community Benefit

Who are the Change Agents?

• IRS

- U.S. Congress (House and Senate)
- State Legislatures
- State and Local Taxing Authorities
- State Attorneys General
- Class Action Plaintiff Lawyers
- Labor Unions
- Press and The Public
- Auditors
- Bond Rating Agencies

- You have to *EARN* your tax-exempt status!
- Be prepared to demonstrate how your tax-exempt, not-for-profit organization differs from a for-profit organization?
- The Board has primary responsibility for meeting not-for-profit and taxexemption standards
- Greater transparency through more rigorous reporting and government oversight is needed to hold not-for-profit, tax-exempt organization Boards accountable.
- NFPs should swiftly adopt and implement Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) standards of independence and financial reporting.

McDermott Will&Emery PricewaterhouseCoopers 2005 Charity Care Survey State Legislative Initiatives

- 31 states have introduced or passed legislation related to Charity Care in 2004 and 2005
- 16 in 2004, with legislation adopted in 9
- 15 in 2005, as of late May 2005
- Topics addressed:
 - Prices charged and discounts offered to self pay
 - Policy and procedure requirements relating to patients' ability to pay
 - Communication of Charity Care policies
 - Funding to assist hospitals in providing care to insured and underinsured (New Jersey & Massachusetts)
 - Collection practices
 - Reporting of number of insured and uninsured treated

Property Tax Challenges "Failure of Charity" Theory

• Major Themes

- Shift in focus from the charitable use of the property to the charitable nature of the organization
- Evolving interpretations and requirements of "charitable" standard
- Increasing focus on Charity Care programs and quantifications
- > Unclear how much Community Benefit is enough
- Isolating Charity Care from Community Benefit as the tax-exemption standard

- In mid-May 2006 the IRS sent a "voluntary" questionnaire to 545 taxexempt hospitals.
- Questionnaire was a compliance check, rather than an examination.
- Questionnaire covers following areas:
 - Board membership
 - Community benefit
 - Charity care
 - Billing practices
 - Medical research
 - Medical education and training
 - Executive compensation
- Findings: All respondents provide community benefit, No uniformity in approach or data, Wide variability in amounts and types

- IRS released in June a draft of revamped Form 990 for public comment
- New 990 would apply beginning in FY 2008 (returns filed in 2009 for FYs beginning in 2008)
 - For calendar year organizations, new Form is operational now!
- Structure of the Form
 - > 11-page core form
 - > 16 separate schedules
- Public comment period expired September 14
- Instructions just issued in Spring 2008 and expected to be final by end of Summer 2008

- New hospital schedule for detailed disclosure of charity care and community benefit
 - Adopts CHA/VHA approach to community benefit and bad debt, but permits separate report and explanation of these
 - > Disclosure of community benefit expenses
 - Description of charity care policy
 - Activities and programs conducted at each facility
 - > 2009 effective date for all but facilities report

Program Service Accomplishments

- Moved up to page two of core form
- Statement of program service accomplishments must include separate description of significant changes and of most important accomplishment for the year
- Electronic filers will have little room to "tell their story," because new Schedule O has two-page limit per item
- Hospitals will be less pressured to explain community benefit and charity care here, because Schedule H will require disclosure and narratives

- Most of form delayed one year; reporting on facilities required for 2008
- Hospital defined by state licensure/certification for now all reporting on entity-by-entity basis
- More detailed questions on charity care and community benefits charity care policy, eligibility criteria, annual report
- Provide detailed figures on charity care
 - Charity care at cost
 - Unreimbursed Medicaid costs
 - Unreimbursed costs under other government programs
 - No unreimbursed Medicare cost or bad debt

- Provide detailed figures on specified categories of community benefit
 - Community health improvement programs (express purpose of improving community health - no patient billing)
 - Health professions education (negative margin starting with cost), including scholarships for health professional education
 - Subsidized health services (clinical services with negative margin after removing charity care and Medicaid shortfalls)
 - Research (unsponsored direct and indirect costs)
 - Cash and in-kind contributions to community groups (to improve health of community)
- For all these, report number of programs, persons served, total expense, offsetting revenue and percentage of net expense to total expense

Extreme Makeover of Form 990

- Separate section on community building activities (same financial data as for charity care and community benefit)
 - Physical improvements and housing
 - Economic development
 - Community support
 - Environmental improvements
 - Leadership development and training
 - Coalition building
 - Community health improvement advocacy
 - Workforce development
 - Other

- New Section for disclosing bad debt, Medicare shortfall and collection practices - note narrative explanations required: why should these be considered community benefit?
- Draft table on billing data by patient category eliminated
- Describe management companies and joint ventures owned jointly with officers, directors, trustees, key employees and physicians, and disclose ownership percentages – aggregate ownership threshold of 10% (raised from 5% in draft)

Extreme Makeover of Form 990

- New section requiring narratives
 - Income criteria for free/discounted care
 - Bad debt, Medicare shortfall and collection practices
 - Community healthcare needs assessment
 - Patient education of eligibility for assistance
 - Description of community served
 - Community-building activities (promoting health)
 - Other promotion of community health
 - Roles of entity and affiliates in community health promotion
 - States in which community benefit report filed







National Audioconference Hospital and Health System Governance Strategies for Meeting Community Benefit Responsibilities May 7, 2008

The Legal Context of Nonprofit Hospitals' Community Benefit Obligation

Bernadette M. Broccolo McDermott Will & Emery Chicago, Illinois bbroccolo@mwe.com 312-984-6911

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

35

© 2006 McDermott Will & Emery LLP. McDermott operates its practice through separate legal entities in each of the countries where it has offices.