

**Is HIPAA Ready for the EHR?
Practical and Legal Considerations
of the Interoperable
Electronic Health Record**

**Barry S. Herrin, CHE, Esq.
Smith Moore LLP**

**The Twelfth Annual HIPAA Summit
April 11, 2006
Hyatt Regency Capitol Hill, Washington DC**



SMITH MOORE LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW



Extraordinary Focus on EHR

- Financial and e-services industries way ahead of health care industry in customer access and data manipulation
- Technology for EHR exists in many facilities and some physician practices
- AHIMA and e-HIM Initiatives bringing more exposure to potential for EHR adoption and utilization
- Entrepreneurs have figured out you can make money doing this



Patient Reluctance

- General distrust of “putting my information out there” overcomes acknowledgement that a universal EHR is probably a good idea
 - Harris February 2004 Polling Data
 - Harris February 2005 Polling Data
 - Modern Healthcare/California HealthCare Foundation November 2005 Survey
- Highly publicized information security breaches aren't helping matters
- How do you buy your gas?



General HIPAA Issues

- Are You Establishing a Separate Legal Entity (RHIO, CHIN)?
- Covered Entity vs. Business Associate
- Organized Health Care Arrangement
 - Definitional Problems
 - No Clear Guidance
- State Law Pre-emption and Other Issues
 - Provider Licensing Statutes/Telemedicine
 - Notice of Privacy Practices



HIPAA Privacy Concepts Implicated by the Interoperable EHR

- Authorization – 45 CFR 164.502(a)
- Minimum Necessary – 45 CFR 164.502(b)
- Patient Right of Access – 45 CFR 164.524
- Accounting for Disclosures – 45 CFR 164.528
- Physical Security - 45 CFR 164.530(c)
- Verification – 45 CFR 164.514(h)
- Research – 45 CFR 164.512(i)

HIPAA Security Concepts Implicated by the Interoperable EHR

- Administrative Safeguards – 45 CFR 164.308
 - Access Management
 - Password Management
 - Verification of Risk Analysis/Assessment
- Physical Safeguards – 45 CFR 164.310
 - Access Control and Validation
 - Workstation Use
 - Data Backup and Storage
- Technical Safeguards – 45 CFR 164.312
 - Audit Controls
 - Data Integrity Procedures
 - Verification of Identity of Requesting Parties
 - Encryption

Practical Issues - Verification

- What protocol to use?
 - Like financial access models?
 - Cookies/select terminal access?
- No requirement for a writing under HIPAA to verify identification
- Patient security concerns must be addressed here
- Sharing of password(s) eliminates audit trail required by HIPAA Security Rule




Practical Issues – Record Maintenance

- Record retention/destruction standards vary widely by state
- “Sampling” risks
 - Providers
 - Patients
- Who maintains the record?
 - Relates directly to issues of provider trust
 - How are errors corrected and posted?

Practical Issues – Release to Third Parties

- No Privacy Rule accounting required for authorized releases, but Security Rule requires audit trail
 - How to show unique authorization?
 - HIPAA requires a writing and signature
 - Security protocol and password authentication not the same
 - Authorization must expire (unless it doesn't)
 - Revocations of Authorization
- Authentication of Records – How?/Why?
 - Viewable or Printable? Or Both?
- How Does the PHR Fit In?



Case Study – “Virtual PHR”

- Web-based tool that provides a “password vault” for an individual’s access to multiple provider websites or databases
- Tool accesses the provider website/database, sorts data, and places in a common format for access
- Permits individuals to communicate with multiple providers in the same GUI format



“Virtual PHR” - Desired Features

- Messaging
- Interaction with Business Offices (pay bills, get statements)
- Pre-registration
- Obtain test results
- Refill prescriptions/track meds/drug interactions
- Request copies of individual provider EHR



“Virtual PHR” - Current Problems and Limitations

- Patient-only access; shared access only by sharing password, so no HIPAA-compliant audit trail
- Real-time access might release results before they can be explained by a health care provider
- Misunderstandings about patient access vs. third party access overcomplicate the process
- “Hub and spoke” access only; no interoperability
- Patient understanding limited by cultural differences and language barriers



QUESTIONS?

- Answers?



Contact Info:

Barry S. Herrin, Esq.

Smith Moore LLP

877-404-7466 x1027

barry.herrin@smithmoorelaw.com