





Framework

- States and regions are different things
- Regions are not exclusively part of states
 - Tennessee borders 8 other states
 - 20-25% of patients seeking care in two Memphis hospitals were from other states
- People move a lot
- Even if health care delivery organizations do their jobs completely, their collective efforts will not achieve our goals for a transformed health care system
- We may be competing over the wrong things e.g., data
- It's not "do we invest in HIT"? It's whether the investment is institution-centric or patient-centric.

Sources:

1 – U.S. Census Bureau and J. P. Schachter, "Geographical Mobility: 2002 to 2003," http://www.census.gov/prod/2004pubs/p20-549.pdf



Volunteer eHealth Initiative

Workflow....All Directed "Inside"...and Insufficient...

- Total institutional IT expenditures across a number of health care sectors are expected to exceed \$40 billion in 2005.
- Studies have shown that nearly 30% of US healthcare spending -- up to \$300 billion each year -- is for treatments that may not improve health status, may be redundant, or may be inappropriate for the patient's condition¹.
- All-consuming attention to internal operations reflects "a healthcare landscape that's slim on resources but heavily laden with demand from varying internal constituencies."
- 11% of a Medicaid Managed Care population sought care in an ED more than once a year.
- The average use for this group was 5 visits per year!
- These visits are not always to the same ED
- Some day, our ability to deliver more efficient and effective care in our institutions will reach an asymptote....and it will not be enough....

Sources:

R. Blair and M. Hilts, "Cio Survey: At the Crossroads of Change and Constancy," Health Management Technology 24, no. 12 (2003): 22-30. Gartner Group Research, "North American Healthcare It Spending Forecasts to 2007," 24 April 2004 Data supplied by a Medicaid Managed Care Organization 07/2003-07/2004 "Health Spending Projections for 2002-2012" by Heffler, Keehan, Clemens, Won, Zezza; Feb 7 2003, p 54-56

Why Hospitals (or Clinics, or Plans) are Insufficient

A tale of.....Mobility, Redundancy, & Absence

- In 2002-03, 41 million Americans changed their residence (20% of these to another county, another 20% to another state). 21% of children age 4 or less moved during the same period
- 11% of a Medicaid Managed Care population sought care in an ED more than once a year.
- The average use for this group was 5 visits per year...and not to the same ED
- Studies have shown that nearly 30% of US healthcare spending -- up to \$300 billion each year -- is for treatments that may not improve health status, may be redundant, or may be inappropriate for the patient's condition¹.
- Recent claim that important clinical data missing in one in seven primary care visits. Physicians believe this loss results in delays or duplications 50% of the time.

Sources:

Data supplied by a Medicaid Managed Care Organization 07/2003-07/2004
Thompson, Brailer - "Decade for Health Information Technology:", US Dept of Health & Human Services, Wash DC, July 21, 2004).
U.S. Census Bureau and J. P. Schachter, "Geographical Mobility: 2002 to 2003."
P. C. Smith, et al., "Missing Clinical Information During
Primary Care Visits," JAMA 293, no. 5 (2005): 565-571



Reaching Out to Other Venues of Care

- Your physicians and other clinical professionals working outside your institution require different information sets
- Most physicians are self-employed, and 60% of them work in practices with two or fewer other physicians.
- Transitions in care impact your ability to provide care (out-patient, in-patient, home care, long-term care)
- A <u>regional</u> perspective may force you to re-think what "competition" means in your market

M. E. Frisse and J. Metzger, "Information Technology in the Rural Setting: Challenges and More Challenges," J Am Med Inform Assoc 12, no. 1 (2005): 99-100.



Volunteer eHealth Initiative

We Share a Common Goal

Inform clinical practice

- Create incentives for EHR adoption
- Reduce risk of EHR investment
- Promote EHR diffusion in rural & underserved areas

Connect clinicians

- Foster regional collaborations
- Develop a national health information network

Improve the health of populations

- Encourage use of Personal Health Records
- Enhance informed consumer choice

Involve consumers

- Unify public health surveillance architectures
- Streamline quality and health status monitoring
- Accelerate research and dissemination of evidence

Source: T. G. Thompson and D. J. Brailer, "The Decade of Health Information Technology: Delivering Consumer-Centric and Information-Rich Health Care Framework for Strategic Action," 21 July, 2004.



Volunteer eHealth Initiative

The NHII is "a comprehensive knowledge-based network of interoperable systems of clinical, public health, and personal health information that would improve decision-making by making health information available when and where it is needed."

But Our Initial Steps May Differ

- Secure Networks adopted by some IPAs and regions.
 Focus on communications, e-prescribing
- Service-Specific infrastructure based on claims engines or e-prescribing
- Employer/Community Models take a comprehensive view starting with compensation by payers to those who use HIT or adopt clinical programs requiring HIT
- Provider-Specific Networks Hospitals and large clinics first, then expand to payers, consumers
- Consumers consumer-driven models associated with specific plans or delivery organizations



Value: Be Conservative and Take Multiple Perspectives



Integration == Better Life

The infrastructure being established will create opportunities to improve data collection and aggregation processes with the public health arena

| Public Health Area | Opportunities |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Immunizations | Increase automation and volume of data collected in the State Immunization database (TWIS) from provider sources through integration with the Volunteer eHealth Initiative RHIO |
| | Provide physicians with ability to see complete immunization records within RHIO to limit number of applications to access |
| Newborn Screening and Lead Poisoning | Difficult to submit or receive information. Today must use mail or telephone to request information |
| Prevention | Secure access through the internet can improve value |
| Child Health | Integration of the immunization, newborn screening, genetics, and lead poisoning data to provide a holistic view of clinical history |
| | Enables improved continuity in care for patients who change physicians or move to a different area of the state |
| Disease Surveillance | May simplify reporting infectious diseases to appropriate agencies |
| | Potential to improve early identification of public health threats |
| Home Visitation Programs | More integrated information will ease in transitions of care from hospital to home and support other home visitation programs Volunteer eHealth Initiative |

Few Data are Required to Address Many Clinical Challenges

Outcomes evaluated

Bold Items indicate priorities

- Asthma
- Group B Strep
- Cancer Screenings
- Diabetes Management
- Immunizations
- Hypertension
- Post MI care
- Congestive Heart Failure
- Sickle Cell Pain Management
- Depression
- Medication Management
- Reducing Redundant Testing
- Well Child Screening
- ER Utilization

Data Elements

Detailed requirements for each element to be defined Bold items indicate greatest significance

- Medications
- Problem list
- Lab Results
- Radiology Results
- Cardiology Results
- Weight
- Allergies
- Encounter data
 - Where was patient seen
 - When was patient seen
 - What was done during visit



Volunteer eHealth Initiative

Source, Vanderbilt & Accenture Study

But How Difficult is it to Acquire These Data?

- Commercial laboratories
- Office laboratories
- Patient demographics
- Prescription drug data
- Allergies
- Problem Lists
- Radiographs
- Electrocardiograms
- Printed reports
- Patient-provided information



RHIOs and HISPs

NHIN Conceptual Data Architecture



Source: Interoperability Consortium: An Alliance of Accenture Cisco CSC Hewlett-Packard IBM Intel Microsoft & Oracle, "Development and Adoption of a National Health Information Network, January 18, 2005

Regional Health Information Organization

- Multi-stakeholders organizations enable the exchange and use of health care information for the general good
- Business organization
- Focused on the region

Health Information Services Provider

- Technical services organizations
- Can contract with a range of organization types including RHIOs
- Focused on the technologies



Lessons Learned: the Need for RHIOs

- A community emphasis requires a new organizational framework focused on the individual and requiring the participation of all providers of care for that individual
 - Identity who is Dr. X? Who is patient Y?
 - Authority can Dr. X. see my records?
 - Standards can systems "talk" to each other?
 - Certification do systems use standards?
 - Quality am I getting the care I need?
 - Legal Stark, HIPAA, safe harbor compliance



Lessons Learned: HISPs

RHIOS in turn Require Health Information Services Providers (HISPs)

- Provide technical services to a RHIO
- Assure evolution and compliance
- Can work across RHIOs or other organizations to gain economies of scale
- Work upward to the national level to assure that the technology standards employed will communicate with others as individuals move from one RHIO to another.



Example of Collaboration: West Tennessee



All parties recognize that health care is regional and that a significant number of individuals seeking care in Tennessee are residents of one of the 8 bordering states Note – other regional initiatives and state-wide HIT initiatives funded by AHRQ or HRSA in the state include UT Memphis, UT Knoxville, Vanderbilt, and Kingsport-Johnson City.



Volunteer eHealth Initiative

Establish trust and architecture; then expand



Begin with the end in mind. . .

"It is more important to first build the highway than the hotel or fast food place," Clem McDonald, MD, FACP, Regenstrief Institute, Indianapolis, IN.



Technology: Low Entry Costs and then Evolve



Value to a Participating Hospital



| Financial Measures | Dollar Savings (millions) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Reduced inpatient hospitalization | \$5.6 |
| ED communication distribution | \$0.1 |
| Reduced IP days due to missing Group B strep tests | \$0.1 |
| Decrease in # of duplicate radiology tests | \$9.0 |
| Decrease in # of duplicate lab tests | \$3.8 |
| Lower emergency department expenditures | \$5.6 |
| Total Benefit | \$24.2 |

The overall benefit to the core healthcare entities has potential to reach \$24.2 million*.

Assumptions

- Based on data obtained from Memphis Managed Care (TLC) and extrapolated for the remaining population
- Research factors are applied to calculate the benefits
- Deployment schedule is limited initially to EDs and Labor & Delivery; years four and five will extend to all healthcare providers
- Inflation and volumes remain constant

*If data is exchanged across all facilities within the three-county region the overall benefit has potential to reach \$48.1 million. **Volunteer eHealth Initiative**

NPV - \$4.3 Million (estimated)



The State of Tennessee and the Core Healthcare Entities realize a higher financial gain when you consider the different stakeholder contributions.

| State of Tennessee | Core Healthcare Entities |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Payback Period = 2.7 | Payback Period = 1.2 |
| Return on Investment = 1.6 | Return on Investment = 8.18 |

Assumptions

- Based on data obtained on the core healthcare entities and Memphis Managed Care
- Research factors are applied to calculate the benefits
- Deployment schedule is limited initially to EDs and Labor & Delivery; years four and five will extend to all healthcare providers
- Inflation and volumes remain constant
- The costs to move and support the RHIO data center are not included in the five-year forecasts
- The RHIO support desk infrastructure is not established; Vanderbilt will provide this service
- Labcorp will not charge the project for their effort
- The average cost for a core healthcare entity for implementation and operation activities is \$30,000 per year.



Potential Benefit to a 600-bed hospital

Illustrative Example

| Financial Measures | Dollar Savings (thousands) |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Reduced inpatient hospitalization | \$857 |
| ED communication distribution | \$12 |
| Reduced IP days due to missing Group B strep tests | \$30 |
| Decrease in # of duplicate radiology tests | \$1,489 |
| Decrease in # of duplicate lab tests | \$636 |
| Lower emergency department expenditures | \$600 |
| Total Benefi | t \$3,624 |

| Assumptions |
|-------------|
|-------------|

| • | Licensed Beds: | 600 |
|---|-----------------------|---------|
| • | Radiology Procedures: | 200,000 |
| • | ER Visits: | 50,000 |
| • | Admissions: | 20,000 |
| • | Births: | 4,000 |



Develop a Realistic Budget and Discuss it



.

Budget Breakdown

Staffing Allocation

| Year 1 (Oct '04 - Sept '05) | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--|
| Role | FTEs* | Location |
| Project Team Total | 7.2 | |
| PMO | 1.15 | Nashville |
| Clinical Coordinator | 2 | Memphis (1) and Nashville (1) |
| Phase II Project Team | 0.5 | Memphis |
| Andy Spooner | 0.05 | Memphis |
| 6-Month Plan | 3.5 | Accenture |
| Evaluation Team Total | 0.33 | Nashville (Vanderbilt) |
| Software Development Team Total | 3.04 | |
| Technical Project Manager | 1 | (In the Internet of the Intern |
| Technical Developers | 1.75 | Mar 1 and an D |
| SMEs | 0.25 | Image: state |
| Grand Total | 10.57 | |
| | | * The FTE counts are calculated based on a 12 person month year and reflect a resource's targeted start and roll-off date. |

- **Budget Assumptions** •
 - Resources are hired or subcontracted as the . budget specifies
 - The cost estimates are approximate; after . design the a more detailed estimate will be developed for the release implementation
 - The cost estimates do not contain contingency .
 - The cost estimates do not include change management resources
 - The cost estimates do not include the effort incurred by the individual entities
 - G&A and overhead have been allocated across the categories within the budget
 - The Project Team category for year one includes the funding for the six-month planning effort
 - Hardware includes computer and database hardware
 - Software includes merge algorithm & standards software and system & database software
 - Maintenance includes the budget for network and hosting services, enterprise PMI and StarChart maintenance (this is 15% of the hardware and software costs)



Everyone Must Play a Part

State

- Encourage information exchange coverage across the State
- Set standards and policies as required for statewide interoperability
- Work in collaboration with neighboring states
- Provide financial support as appropriate
- Ensure compliance with Federal Standards across projects
- Facilitate negotiation and data collection from sources that can benefit all regions (e.g., RxHub, SureScripts, National Lab Companies)

Regional Information Exchange

- Facilitates collaboration among participating stakeholders
- Contains information from all participating stakeholders
- Coordinates data publication from stakeholders
- Provides neutral governance
 organization
- Sets and implements regional policy (e.g., security, authorization, privacy, and authentication)
- Identification management and support for regional patient identification
- Pursues opportunity to expand exchange capabilities such as patient portal access or decision support

Participating Organization

- Agrees to participate in a regional information exchange
- Serves as a medical data source
- Publish information to the exchange and/or utilizes information from the exchange
- Supports Entity workflow
- Encourages use and adoption
- Governs decision making as it relates to the organization
- Identification management and support for organization patient identification



Workflow: a Regional Perspective



- Can providers and others participate in a transition to an efficient, consumer-focused, regional approach while meeting their "inward" responsibilities?
- Can they identify ways in which they can work with their communities and our "competitors" to achieve a regional transformation in health care delivery?
- Can our health care systems evolve in this direction without major regulatory pressure?
- Can providers achieve these changes and remain solvent? (one person's "savings" is another's revenue loss)
- Is "transformation" possible without obsolescence in some sectors of the health care system?
- Can these transformations improve global changes to an extent not achievable by other means?



Transformational Change is our Heritage

