

**Analysis of FDA Draft Guidance on
Direct-to-Consumer (DTC)
Advertising**

Pharma Congress 2004

National Audio conference

March 23, 2004

Michael Misocky, R.Ph., J.D.



Disclaimer

Michael A. Misocky, R.Ph., J.D. is Director, Global Pharmaceutical Regulatory Affairs at Abbott Laboratories.

The opinions in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the current thinking or policies of Abbott Labs.

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: First Impressions

- ◆ *Eagerly anticipated* – publication of these Draft DTC Guidance documents forecast for months
- ◆ *High-profile topic* – receiving much attention from media, professional and consumer associations, and congress
- ◆ *Public Meeting on DTC Advertising* – held in September 2003 not a direct link but relevant to information/evidence gathering
- ◆ *Evidence-based regulatory guidance*- FDA struggling with some jurisdictional questions and evidence/statutes are non-conclusive

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: An Overview

- ◆ *Brief Summary: Disclosing Risk Information in DTC Ads* – designed to encourage manufacturer to create and deliver more user-friendly information to public
- ◆ *Help-seeking and other disease awareness communications* – attempts to clarify distinction between disease awareness communications and product promotion
- ◆ *Broadcast Advertising of Restricted Devices* – closely parallels 1999 Guidance for Drugs

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: Taking a Closer Look

Brief Summary: Disclosing Risk Information in DTC Print Ads

- ◆ *Now have more alternatives to physician labeling* (goal is consumer-friendly brief summary that is easier to comprehend based on format and language)
- ◆ *With so many choices, which is the best approach and doesn't this disfavor consistency?* (industry dilemma and evidentiary questions are widespread)
- ◆ *Format recommendations for risk information in core ad raises interesting issues* (bullet format and risk window proposal ideal for FDA but does it stifle creative options)

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: Taking a Closer Look

Help-seeking and other disease awareness communications

- ◆ *Uses non-statutory criteria to establish boundaries/provide guidance* (jurisdictional and regulatory rationale sufficient?)
- ◆ *Reminder ad and disease awareness ad link* (when taken together either perceptually or temporally can be regulated?)

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: Taking a Closer Look

Broadcast DTC Guidance for Restricted Devices

- ◆ *Major statement requirement* (parallels 1999 Guidance for Drugs)
- ◆ *Manufacturers encouraged to create patient labeling* (device labeling for physicians viewed by FDA as highly technical)
- ◆ *Hearing-aids* (FDA recommends adequate provision for receipt of user instructional brochure in connection with broadcast ad)

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: Some final thoughts

- ◆ *Guidance documents are non-binding recommendations so are we really talking about safe harbors?*
- ◆ *Guidance documents are complex and raise some interesting jurisdictional/evidentiary issues*
- ◆ *Anticipate many comments to docket*
- ◆ *Industry has choices regarding brief summary – may need to develop/translate patient labeling as a first step*

Draft DTC Guidance Documents: Some final thoughts

- ◆ *Identifying most important risks could be a challenge – may need FDA opinions*
- ◆ *Structure of contracts/communications with TV networks and periodicals could come into play for temporal linkage issue*
- ◆ *Core themes throughout encourages industry to conduct research and more research on the issues*